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## TA detector



- Location
- Utah, USA
- ~200km south to Salt Lake City (39.3${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 112.9^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ )
- ~1400m a.s.l.

The largest detector in northern hemisphere

## Surface Detector

## 

## Plastic scintillator

$3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, 1.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ thickness
2 layers overlaid
50 MHz 12-bit FADC

The SD array is in operation since March 2008.

## Fluorescence Detector (FD)

- BR/LR site: new FDs


FOV: 3-33 ${ }^{\circ}$ in elevation $108^{\circ}$ in azimuth

12 cameras/station

~1m
$\left(3^{\circ}-18^{\circ}\right) \times 18^{\circ}$

Camera
16x16=256 PMTs
Hamamatsu R9508

## FD station at MD site



## Transferred from HiRes

- 14 cameras/station
- 256 PMTs/camera
- $3^{\circ}-31^{\circ}$ elevation with $1^{\circ}$ pixel
- $114^{\circ}$ in azimuth
- $5.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ mirror
- S/H electronics


## Atmospheric monitor • calibration (for fluorescence detectors)



Central Laser Facility

- Observe sidescattering of laser from each FD station as a standard candle
- LIDAR:
- Observe backscattering of laser $\rightarrow$ measure transparency of atmosphere
- IR camera: cloud monitor Electron Light Source (ELS)
- End-to-end absolute energy calibration of fluorescence detectors


## ELS (Electron Light Source) [compact electron linear accelerator]



View from the roof of FD station

## Specification

. electron energy: 40 MeV (max)
. current: $10^{9}$ electrons/pulse
. pulse width: $1 \mu \mathrm{sec}$
By an electron beam with known total energy, we will perform end-to-end absolute energy calibration of FD.

First light

Sep. $3^{\text {rd }} 2010$ 22:00
Observed !!

Image of pseudo shower by FD

DATA

## Spectrum

- MD FD mono spectrum
- HiRes refurbished telescope
- Direct link of energy scales and energy spectra between HiRes and TA
- SD spectrum
- Plastic scintillator surface detectors (a la AGASA)
- Hybrid spectrum
- BRM/LR FD (new telescopes) + SD


## Middle Drum (MD) FD Analysis

- 14 refurbish HiRes-1 telescopes
- TAMD mono processing is identical to HiRes-1 monocular one.
- Same program set, event selection, cuts
- Using the same "average" atmospheric model
- The differences
- the telescope location and pointing directions
- Thresholds ( $\sim 20 \%$ lower than HiRes-1)


## Impact parameter $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$



Black: TA MD data Red: MC




## Zenith angle $\theta$

Black: TA MD data Red: MC


## MD mono energy spectrum

- Data: 2007/Dec~2010/Dec



## SD spectrum

- SD reconstruction
- LDF, timing fit
- MC
- First energy estimation
- Data/MC comparisons
- SD energy vs. FD energy
- SD spectrum


## SD data set

- May/11/2008 - Apr/25/2011 (~3 years)
- Exposure ~2700km² sr yr
- Cuts:
- LDF $\chi^{2} / n d f<4.0$
- Border Cut > 1.2 km
- Zenith Angle < 45 degrees
- Pointing direction uncertainty < 5 degrees
- Fractional S800 uncertainty < 0.25


## SD event reconstruction

2008/Jun/25-19:45:52.588670 UTC


Time fit to determine geometry ( modified Linsley)



## SD Monte Carlo

- Simulate the data exactly as it exists.
- Start with previously measured spectrum and composition.
- Use Corsika/QGSJet-II air shower events.
- $10^{-6}$ thinned and de-thinned B.T.Stokes et al. , arXiv:1103.4643, arXiv:1104.3182 [astro-ph]
- Throw with isotropic distribution.
- Simulate detector response (GEANT4), trigger, front-end electronics, DAQ.
- Write out the MC events in same format as data.
- Analyze the MC with the same programs used for data.
- Test with data/MC comparison plots.


## Fitting results

DATA


Counter signal, [VEM/m²]

- Fitting procedures are derived solely from the data
- Same analysis is applied to MC
- Fit results are compared between data and MC
- MC fits the same way as the data.
- Consistency for both time fits and LDF fits.
- Corsika/QGSJet-II and data have same lateral distributions!


## First Estimate of Energy



- Energy table is constructed from the MC
- First estimation of the event energy is done by interpolating between S 800 vs $\sec (\theta)$ lines


## Energy Scale

- Energy scale is determined more accurately by FD than by CORSIKA QGSJET-II
- Set SD energy scale to FD energy scale using wellreconstructed events seen by both detectors.
- $27 \%$ renormalization

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{SD}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{SD}}^{\prime} / 1.27
$$



- Ratio of FD to SD after SD renormalization - FD data from all three stations included.


## Data/MC comparison





Black: TA SD data YCORE (m)
XCORE (m) Red: MC

## DATA/MC: S800, Energy



S800



Black: TA SD data
Energy

## Aperture and Exposure



- $\mathrm{E}>10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$
- Aperture $=900 \mathrm{~km}^{2} \mathrm{sr}$
- Exposure $=2700 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ sr yr
- Data set
- 2008/05/11-2011/04/25
- 1080 days ~ 3 years
- GZK effect folded into the MC


## TA SD and HiRes Spectra


in agreement with HiRes spectra

## TA SD Spectrum



TA SD energy is rescaled to FD energy.

## Significance of the Suppression




- Assume no GZK cutoff and extend the broken power law fit beyond the break
- Apply this extended flux formula to the actual TA SD exposure, find the number of expected events and compare it to the number of events observed in $\log _{10} \mathrm{E}$ bins after $10^{19.7} \mathrm{eV}$ bin:
- $\mathrm{N}_{\text {EXPECT }}=54.9$
- $\mathrm{N}_{\text {OBSERVE }}=28$
$\mathrm{PROB}=\sum_{i=0}^{28} \operatorname{Poisson}(\mu=54.9 ; i)=4.75 \times 10^{-5}$
(3.9б)

TA AND. energy is rescaled to FD energy ${ }_{\text {in stocholm }}$

## Hybrid Spectrum

- Hybrid analysis
- FD mono analysis + SD information $\rightarrow$ improve reconstruction
- Aperture is flat for $>10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ by SD



## Hybrid analysis: Data and MC

## -Geometry: Hybrid -Energy: FD

## Data:

-date: May/27/2008 -
Sep/7/2010 (~2.25years)
-BR + LR (new telescopes) with
SDs
-Cut condition

- Xmax has to be observed.
-Zenith angle < 55degrees


## MC: <br> -Air shower: <br> -CORSIKA, QGSJET-II <br> -Isotropic distribution <br> -Detector : <br> -All of calibration constant with <br> time dependence <br> - Simulate trigger, front-end electronics, and DAQ <br> - Aperture / Exposure

## Geometrical reconstruction

FD mono analysis + timing of one SD

$\alpha$ angle (deg)

Fitting Results
psi $=1.513 \pm 0.001$ [rad]
rCore $=17.763 \pm 0.004[\mathrm{~km}]$
tCore $=-16115.817 \pm 0.000[\mathrm{~ns}]$
$\chi^{2} / \mathrm{ndf}=\mathbf{1 4 . 1 9 3}$

Geometry Results
zen $=3.909$ [deg]
uzi $=313.053$ [deg]
core $=(0.253,-6.162,0.000)[k m]$
$\mathrm{rp}=17.732[\mathrm{~km}]$

Mono reconstruction

$$
t_{i}=t_{\text {core }}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\sin \psi-\sin \alpha_{i}}{\sin \left(\psi+\alpha_{i}\right)} r_{\text {core }}
$$

Hybrid reconstruction

$$
t_{i}=t_{\text {core }}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\sin \psi-\sin \alpha_{i}}{\sin \left(\psi+\alpha_{i}\right)} r_{c o r e}
$$

$$
t_{\text {core }}=t_{S D}+\frac{1}{c}\left(r_{\text {core }}-r_{S D}\right) \cos \psi
$$

Shower axis
${ }^{r}$ SD

## Exposure



The aperture is calculated from MC simulation. Exposure: ~6* $10^{15} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{sr} \mathrm{s}$ @ $10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$

## Data/MC comparison impact parameter $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$



LR station


Red: TA data
Blue: MC

# Data/MC comparison zenith angle $\theta$ 



## Energy spectrum

- Hybrid events at the BR and LR station for TA


Systematic errors in energy measurement

| item | Systematic <br> error |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fluorescence <br> yield | $11 \%$ |
| Atmosphere | $11 \%$ |
| Calibration | $11 \%$ |
| Reconstruction | $<12 \%$ |
| Total | $23 \%$ |

TA hybrid spectrum is in agreement with MD mono and SD spectra.

## TA, AGASA, Auger, HiRes, AGASA spectra

TA SD energy is scaled to FD energy.


TA SD spectrum is consistent with TA MD mono and hybrid spectra, and consistent with HiRes-I and HiRes-II spectra.

## FD stereo composition

- Measure Xmax for BR/LR FD stereo events
- Create simulated event set
- Apply the procedure exactly same as with the data

Example of stereo event 2008/09/04 10:51:16


BR FD station

## Data/MC comparison of Xmax



## <Xmax> vs. logE



## Arrival direction of UHECRs

- LSS correlation
- AGN correlation
- autocorrelation


## Correlations with LSS

## LSS model:

. Galaxies (2MASS XSCz catalog, from 5 Mpc to 250 Mpc )
. The flux beyond 250 Mpc : uniform
. Proton primaries assumed
. All interactions and redshift losses are accounted for
TA SD data (May 2008 to May 2011): light blue points (zenith angle < 45)
$E_{C R}>10 \mathrm{EeV}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CR}}=854$
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{CR}}>40 \mathrm{EeV}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CR}}=49$
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{CR}}>57 \mathrm{EeV}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CR}}=20$


Darker gray region indicates larger flux .
Each region among five regions contains $1 / 5$ of the total flux.

## Correlations with LSS


$>$ Data are compatible with LSS model at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{CR}}>40 \mathrm{EeV}$ and 57 EeV
$>$ With correction for GMF of strong halo component, data are compatible with LSS model at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{CR}}>10 \mathrm{EeV}$. the disk component only does not improve.
$>$ Data are compatible with isotropy.

## Correlations with LSS Inclusion of Galactic Magnetic Field (GMF)

- Two-component structure:
- Antisymmetric halo + symmetric disk field
- Fits NVSS Rotation Measure (RM) data [Pshirkov et al., to appear in ApJ]



## Correlations with AGN

- TA SD data beyond 57 EeV
- Veron catalog $12^{\text {th }}$ edition AGN
- Correlations of data with AGN within $3.1^{\circ}$

Number of TA data



Number of TA data

## Autocorrelation

- Separation angle $\theta$ of two UHECRs above 40 EeV


0 pair observed(1.1 expected bkg) for $\theta<2.5^{\circ}$

## Summary

- The Telescope Array (TA) is the largest UHECR detector in the northern hemisphere.
- Hybrid and stereo observation by SD (a la AGASA: plastic scintillator) and FD (a la HiRes: new FDs and refurbished HiRes-I to TA)
- The SD array and FDs are operating with excellent reliability.
- End-to-end absolute energy calibration FD with ELS in the near future.
- The SD, FD mono, stereo, and hybrid analyses are being performed.
- The results of spectrum, composition, and arrival directions from TA are presented.
- More will come at the ICRC in Beijing.

