

# Highlights from

# Telescope Array

### **Yoshiki TSUNESADA** TOKYO TECH Tokyo Institute of Technology

on behalf of the Telescope Array Collaboration



第32回宇宙線国際会議、北京、中国 32<sup>nd</sup> International Cosmic Ray Conference, Aug 13 2011, Beijing, China

### **Telescope Array Collaboration**



T Abu-Zayyad<sup>1</sup>, R Aida<sup>2</sup>, M Allen<sup>1</sup>, R Azuma<sup>3</sup>, E Barcikowski<sup>1</sup>, JW Belz<sup>1</sup>, T Benno<sup>4</sup>, DR Bergman<sup>1</sup>, SA Blake<sup>1</sup>, O Brusova<sup>1</sup>, R Cady<sup>1</sup>, BG Cheon<sup>6</sup>, J Chiba<sup>7</sup>, M Chikawa<sup>4</sup>, EJ Cho<sup>6</sup>, LS Cho<sup>8</sup>, WR Cho<sup>8</sup>, F Cohen<sup>9</sup>, K Doura<sup>4</sup>, C Ebeling<sup>1</sup>, H Fujii<sup>10</sup>, T Fujii<sup>11</sup>, T Fukuda<sup>3</sup>, M Fukushima<sup>9,22</sup>, D Gorbunov<sup>12</sup>, W Hanlon<sup>1</sup>, K Hayashi<sup>3</sup>, Y Hayashi<sup>11</sup>, N Hayashida<sup>9</sup>, K Hibino<sup>13</sup>, K Hiyama<sup>9</sup>, K Honda<sup>2</sup>, G Hughes<sup>5</sup>, T Iguchi<sup>3</sup>, D Ikeda<sup>9</sup>, K Ikuta<sup>2</sup>, SJJ Innemee<sup>5</sup>, N Inoue<sup>14</sup>, T Ishii<sup>2</sup>, R Ishimori<sup>3</sup>, D Ivanov<sup>5</sup>, S Iwamoto<sup>2</sup>, CCH Jui<sup>1</sup>, K Kadota<sup>15</sup>, F Kakimoto<sup>3</sup>, O Kalashev<sup>12</sup>, T Kanbe<sup>2</sup>, H Kang<sup>16</sup>, K Kasahara<sup>17</sup>, H Kawai<sup>18</sup>, S Kawakami<sup>11</sup>, S Kawana<sup>14</sup>, E Kido<sup>9</sup>, BG Kim<sup>19</sup>, HB Kim<sup>6</sup>, JH Kim<sup>6</sup>, JH Kim<sup>20</sup>, A Kitsugi<sup>9</sup>, K Kobayashi<sup>7</sup>, H Koers<sup>21</sup>, Y Kondo<sup>9</sup>, V Kuzmin<sup>12</sup>, YJ Kwon<sup>8</sup>, JH Lim<sup>16</sup>, SI Lim<sup>19</sup>, S Machida<sup>3</sup>, K Martens<sup>22</sup>, J Martineau<sup>1</sup>, T Matsuda<sup>10</sup>, T Matsuyama<sup>11</sup>, JN Matthews<sup>1</sup>, M Minamino<sup>11</sup>, K Miyata<sup>7</sup>, H Miyauchi<sup>11</sup>, Y Murano<sup>3</sup>, T Nakamura<sup>23</sup>, SW Nam<sup>19</sup>, T Nonaka<sup>9</sup>, S Ogio<sup>11</sup>, M Ohnishi<sup>9</sup>, H Ohoka<sup>9</sup>, T Okuda<sup>11</sup>, A Oshima<sup>11</sup>, S Ozawa<sup>17</sup>, IH Park<sup>19</sup>, D Rodriguez<sup>1</sup>, SY Roh<sup>20</sup>, G Rubtsov<sup>12</sup>, D Ryu<sup>20</sup>, H Sagawa<sup>9</sup>, N Sakurai<sup>9</sup>, LM Scott<sup>5</sup>, PD Shah<sup>1</sup>, T Shibata<sup>9</sup>, H Shimodaira<sup>9</sup>, BK Shin<sup>6</sup>, JD Smith<sup>1</sup>, P Sokolsky<sup>1</sup>, TJ Sonley<sup>1</sup>, RW Springer<sup>1</sup>, BT Stokes<sup>5</sup>, SR Stratton<sup>5</sup>, S Suzuki<sup>10</sup>, Y Takahashi<sup>9</sup>, M Takeda<sup>9</sup>, A Taketa<sup>9</sup>, M Takita<sup>9</sup>, Y Tameda<sup>3</sup>, H Tanaka<sup>11</sup>, K Tanaka<sup>24</sup>, M Tanaka<sup>10</sup>, JR Thomas<sup>1</sup>, SB Thomas<sup>1</sup>, GB Thomson<sup>1</sup>, P Tinyakov<sup>12,21</sup>, I Tkachev<sup>12</sup>, H Tokuno<sup>9</sup>, T Tomida<sup>2</sup>, R Torii<sup>9</sup>, S Troitsky<sup>12</sup>, Y Tsunesada<sup>3</sup>, Y Tsuyuguchi<sup>2</sup>, Y Uchihori<sup>25</sup>, S Udo<sup>13</sup>, H Ukai<sup>2</sup>, B Van Klaveren<sup>1</sup>, Y Wada<sup>14</sup>, M Wood<sup>1</sup>, T Yamakawa<sup>9</sup>, Y Yamakawa<sup>9</sup>, H Yamaoka<sup>10</sup>, J Yang<sup>19</sup>, S Yoshida<sup>18</sup>, H Yoshii<sup>26</sup>, Z Zundel<sup>1</sup>



### Outline

- **TA Detectors & Commissioning**
- TA results updated
  - Energy Spectrum
  - <*X*<sub>max</sub>> : UHECR Mass Composition
  - Anisotropy
- Stepping further
  - ELS: Electron Lights Source
  - Bistatic Radar at TA
  - TA: TA Low Energy Extension

### **Telescope Array**

- A follow-up to HiRes and AGASA
- Millard county, Utah, US
- 507 scintillation counters in ~700km<sup>2</sup>
- $\circ$  12 + 12 + 14 fluorescence detectors
- Full operation since May 2008



Y. Tsunesada @ 7AFWS, Coimbra, Portugal 2010/Sep/22

### **TA Surface Detectors (SD)**

All the stand for any the second of the seco

#### T.Nonaka et al., Poster 0984



### **TA Fluorescence Detectors (FDs)**

#### S.Ogio et al., Poster 1308



### **TA Commissioning**

### SD&FD Full operation since May 2008



### **TA Shower Analyses**

The later was to man we competed the in an ender the to and

D.Ikeda: Oral 1264 (Aug12)
D.Rodriguez: Poster 1303
D.Bergman: Poster 1300
S.Stratton: Poster 1299
T.Abu-Zayyad: Poster 1312
M.Allen: Poster 0699
D.Ivanov/B.Stokes: Oral 1297 (Aug12)
B.Stokes: Poster 1288

Star and the start of the start of the

### FD: Longitudinal shower profile -> Calorimetric measurement

THE ALLAND

### **TA Shower Analyses**

D.Ikeda: Oral 1264 (Aug12)
D.Rodriguez: Poster 1303
D.Bergman: Poster 1300
S.Stratton: Poster 1299
T.Abu-Zayyad: Poster 1312
M.Allen: Poster 0699
D.Ivanov/B.Stokes: Oral 1297 (Aug12)
B.Stokes: Poster 1288

#### **FD**: Longitudinal shower profile -> Calorimetric measurement





#### OMPARIS

tely representing r anges from high-le timing and backgro -Monte Carlo comp arisons correspond onding histograms, e simulated data h d into three catego isons test the elec distributions of cos ons test the accura comparisons for re the spectrum mea cts real data.

### **TA Shower Analyses**

D.Ikeda: Oral 1264 (Aug12)
D.Rodriguez: Poster 1303
D.Bergman: Poster 1300
S.Stratton: Poster 1299
T.Abu-Zayyad: Poster 1312
M.Allen: Poster 0699
D.Ivanov/B.Stokes: Oral 1297 (Aug12)
B.Stokes: Poster 1288

### **FD: Longitudinal shower profile** -> Calorimetric measurement

### **SD**: Lateral distribution of particles at the ground



## **TA Energy Scale**

D.Ivanov/B.Stokes: Oral 1297
B.Stokes: Poster 1288
Y.Tsunesada: Poster 1270



 $\Rightarrow$  Use  $E_{FD}$  as reference: calorimetrically determined energy

**y**:

 $\cong E_{\rm FD}$  - E'<sub>SD</sub> plot for hybrid events

$$\left\langle \frac{E'_{\rm SD}}{E_{\rm FD}} \right\rangle_{\rm hyb} = 1.27$$
  
Rescale the SD energy

$$E_{\rm SD} = \frac{1}{\left\langle \frac{E_{\rm SD}'}{E_{\rm FD}} \right\rangle_{\rm hyb}} E_{\rm SD}'$$

<b>FD</b> energy uncertainty	
Source	ΔΕ/Ε
Fluorescence yield	11%
Detector	10%
Atmosphere	11%
Reconstruction	10%
Total	21%

# Energy Spectrum

# TA Spectra: MD, BR/LR Hybrid, SD



"MD": FD mono
"Hybrid": BR/LR mono
+ 1-SD timing
"SD": SD self-trigger
events

All are consistent within a few % level.
Consistent with HiRes.

D.Rodriguez, Poster1303
D.Ikeda, Oral 1264
B.Stokes/D. Ivanov, Oral 1297

### **TA-SD Spectrum**

#### B.Stokes/D. Ivanov, Oral 1297





## **TA-SD Spectrum**

#### B.Stokes/D. Ivanov, Oral 1297

•Broken power-law fit  $E_{ank} = 10^{18.69} \text{ eV}$   $E_{cut} = 10^{19.68} \text{ eV}$  $(E_{1/2} = 10^{19.69} \text{ eV})$ 



## **TA-SD Spectrum**

#### B.Stokes/D. Ivanov, Oral 1297

E<sup>3</sup> J(E) [eV<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>/s/str] 10<sup>25</sup>  $N_{\rm exp} = 54.9$ 1024  $N_{\rm obs} = 28$ 10<sup>18</sup> 10<sup>19</sup> 10<sup>20</sup> Energy [eV]

•Broken power-law fit  $E_{ank} = 10^{18.69} \,\mathrm{eV}$   $E_{cut} = 10^{19.68} \,\mathrm{eV}$  $(E_{1/2} = 10^{19.69} \,\mathrm{eV})$ 

• Significance of the event deficit

 $\sum_{i=0}^{28} \text{Poisson}(i; \mu = 54.9)$  $= 4.75 \times 10^{-5}$  $3.9\sigma$ 

### AGASA, HiRes, Auger, TA



•TA spectra are consistent with HiRes.
•-20% AGASA
•+20% Auger

 9% difference from the FLY model
 (Kakimoto et al. in TA/ Nagano et al./AirFly : Tsunesada Poster 1279)
 ~22% total
 systematic uncertainty
 in both TA & Auger

### Towards UHECR Mass Composition

### <*X*max> Analysis

#### Y.Tameda, Oral 1268

William and the second of the



•Compare model prediction and data : should be bias-free

<*X*max> Analysis

#### Y.Tameda, Oral 1268

When a fait is tory which man we carpertant that a survey by the second of the second



<X<sub>max</sub>> Analysis

#### Y.Tameda, Oral 1268

and the second to a second the second the second to the second the second to the second the second the second to the second the second to the



•Compare model prediction and data : should be bias-free

•Compare "biased" model prediction and "biased" data

BR/LR stereo events
Consistent with protondominated composition

### $X_{\text{max}}$ Distribution: log $E=18.2 \sim 19.0$



X<sub>max</sub> [g/cm<sup>2</sup>]

A TON DON'T MAN DE CARDENTA 14-4





### *X*<sub>max</sub> **Distribution:** log*E*=19.0 ~ 19.8





### **Anisotropy Analyses**

- Use SD events (1.27 scaled)
- 2008/05/11 2011/05/01: 1086 days
- Zenith angle < 45deg
- Array boundary cut
- Angular resolution:  $1.5^{\circ}$  ( $E > 10^{19} \,\mathrm{eV}$ )
- Number of events:
  - E > 10 EeV: 854
  - E > 40 EeV: 49
  - E > 57 EeV: 20

### **TA Event Map**

Okuda/Tkachev Oral 1311



### **Correlation with the VCV Catalog Objects ?**

#### **Okuda/Tkachev Oral 1311**

Binominal Correlation of event energy > 57EeV, with Veron AGN 12th. Zmax=0.018 (472AGN), Within 3.1deg.



8 of 20 correlated
4.8 for isotropic

 (Auger result has been updated: 68% ---> 38%)

• Compatible with both isotropy and AGN correlation hypothesis.

### Search for Large-Scale Anisotropy Tinyakov/Kido Oral 1317

- MASS galaxy redshift catalog (XSCz), 5Mpc ~ 250Mpc
  - Uniform intensity beyond 250Mpc
- $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\scriptstyle \sim}$  Proton primary, injection spectrum  $E^{-2.2}$
- Interactions/redshift TA exposure taken into account
- Smearing angle parameter: ~20° (Magnetic deflection, angular resolution etc.)
- $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\scriptstyle =}$  GC region excluded ( $|b| < 10^{\circ}, |l| < 90^{\circ}$ )
- Sompare TA data and the expected CR density map



Smearing

### Search for Large-Scale Anisotropy Tinyakov/Kido Oral 1317

MALLA . ANYAR



### TA Events & LSS: KS Test



•Compatible with isotropy for all energy regions

•Also compatible with the structure hypothesis at 40/57 EeV w/ or w/o GMF •Not compatible with LSS for E > 10EeV, without strong/extended halo field

### We also presented...

- UHE Photon limit: G. Rubtsov, Oral Talk 1266
- Anisotropy: autocorrelation: T. Okuda, Oral Talk 1311
- MD hybrid analysis: M.Allen, Poster 0699
- Shower MC with GPU: T.AbuZayyad, Poster 1329
- Detailed Shower MC: CORSIKA & COSMOS: J.Kim, Poster 0812
- Hybrid triggering system: H.Tokuno, Poster 1275
- FD cross-calibration: T.Stroman, Poster 1301
- Atmospheric monitoring: LIDAR: T.Tomida, Poster 1279
- Atmospheric monitoring: CLF-LIDAR: D.Oku, Poster 1278
- Atmospheric monitoring: IR camera: F. Shibata, Poster 1277

# **ELS: Electron Accelerator**



T.Shibata Oral 1252 (Aug 17)

•40MeV, 10<sup>9</sup> electrons
•E2E calibration of FD energies
•First shot in Sep.2010
•Analysis ongoing

Event Display of ELS Shower Data : Sep.5<sup>th</sup> .2010. AM04:30 (UTC) Energy : 41.1MeV First Shot in Sep.2010

DATA

### **Bistatic Radar at TA**



Tort support & monade into the 1 A TA

#### Air shower plasma should reflect low-VHF (~50 MHz) radiation (Blackett and Lovell, 1940).

Low-cost remote sensing technique

#### J.Belz: Oral 1314 J.Belz *et al.*: Poster 1315



### **Bistatic Radar at TA**



# **TALE: TA Low-Energy Extension**

#### G.Thomson et al.: Poster 1307

All a the stand the second of the second of



•Drastic change of composition at ~10<sup>17</sup>eV?

Galactic-to-extra-galactic transition?
Second knee?
LHC √s !

### Importance of 10<sup>16~18</sup>eV



# **TALE: TA Low-Energy Extension**

#### G.Thomson et al.: Poster 1307

the all the second to an and the second of t



# Conclusion

- 3 years TA full operation
- Energy Spectrum:
  - Consistent with HiRes
    - SD/FD energy scale difference
  - Ankle at 10<sup>18.69</sup> eV
  - Cut-off at 1019.68 eV: Deficit: 3.90
- Proton dominant composition up to the cut-off energy
- Anisotropy: Need more statistics!
  - Compatible with both isotropy and AGN/LSS correlation hypothesis

# Conclusion

- 3 years TA full operation
- Energy Spectrum:
  - Consistent with HiRes
    - SD/FD energy scale difference
  - Ankle at 10<sup>18.69</sup> eV
  - Cut-off at 1019.68 eV: Deficit: 3.90
- Proton dominant composition up to the cut-off energy
- Anisotropy: Need more statistics!
  - Compatible with both isotropy and AGN/LSS correlation hypothesis
- Question: Have we seen the GZK cut-off?
  - Consistency between composition and the position of  $E_{cut}$ ?
  - Anisotropy: CR horizon? z<sub>max</sub> dep.? B-field? Spectral shape around E<sub>cut</sub>?



- ----

When the the state of the state

## **Understanding Our Detectors**



### Understanding Our Detectorshe distribution of



### **TA FLY Model**

- Spectral lines and their relative intensities are from Abbasi et al. Astropart. Phys., 29 77-86 (2008)
  Defined in 300-420nm
- Scaled to the Kakimoto's yield in 300-400nm, Kakimoto et al. NIM A, **372** 527-533 (1996)
  - (The total yield of the TA FLY model in 300-420nm is slightly larger than Kakimoto's.)



### • Spectral lines and relative intensities are from FLASH:

$$\int_{300}^{420} f_{\rm FLASH}(\lambda) d\lambda = 1$$

Abbasi et al. Astropart. Phys., **29** 77-86 (2008) Figure 9.

- $FLY_{TA}(\lambda)$  ([ph/MeV] or [ph/m]) is defined by scaling  $f_{FLASH}(\lambda)$  $FLY_{TA}(\lambda) \ [ph/MeV] \equiv \alpha f_{FLASH}(\lambda)$ 
  - $\bullet \, {\rm The \ scaling \ factor} \ \alpha \ \ {\rm is \ obtained \ as}$

 $\alpha \int_{300}^{400} f_{\rm FLASH}(\lambda) d\lambda = K = {\rm Kakimoto's} \ @ \rm IOI3hPa/293K$ 

• Therefore

$$FLY_{\text{TA}}(\lambda) \equiv \frac{K}{\int_{300}^{400} f_{\text{FLASH}}(\lambda) d\lambda} f_{\text{FLASH}}(\lambda)$$

$$\text{Note that} \quad \int_{300}^{420} FLY_{\text{TA}}(\lambda) d\lambda > K$$

### SD Analysis: Data Quality Cuts

- Good data fits:
  - $\chi^2/d.o.f.: > 4.0$
  - Pointing direction resolution: < 5°</li>
  - Fractional S800 uncertainty: < 25%</p>
- Good shower geometry:
  - Border Cut > 1200m
  - Zenith Angle Cut: < 45°</li>
- 3 years, 10,997 events





### SD Analysis: Data/MC Comparisons





#### **Azimuthal angle**

**Zenith angle** 

### SD Analysis: Data/MC Comparisons

20000

20000

χ<sup>2</sup> / ndf

const

slope

7.841e-07

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Core Position (E-W)** 

Core X [ m ]

10000

10000

350

300

250

200

150

100

50

1.

**Core Position (N-S)** 

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Data / MC comparison

**Red points: Data, Blue histograms : MC** 

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Signal Characteristics**

#### Signal-to-Noise

#### **Phase Modulation**

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Assume 20 kW transmitter
- Prediction for received power for 10<sup>18</sup>, 10<sup>19</sup>, 10<sup>20</sup> eV showers, 30° from zenith, typical TA distances and antenna gain.
- Horizontal line: Galactic noise floor (4 MHz B.W.)

![](_page_48_Figure_7.jpeg)

- Predicted signal for 10<sup>19</sup> eV shower, 30° from zenith; frequency vs time.
- Rapid movement of "target" produces Doppler-like frequency shift.
- Unique signature for air shower echoes!

### **TA-MD & HiRes Spectra**

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

D.Rodriguez et al., Poster 1303

Three years data of TA-MD, refurbished HiRes-I detector
~1/3 HiRes-I exposure

•Excellent agreement between HiRes and MD: HiRes is still alive!

### **ELS** observation

Observation for the reflected radio from ELS shower to confirm the method

- Set the observer to the roof of BR station •Radio path: CRC - ELS - BR
- Receiver : Five-element Yagi antenna
  - Design is fixed (see other file)
- Also we can measure the cross-section
  - Distance: CRC-BR >> ELS-BR

BR

10m

- Can measure the power of coming radio from CRC by seeing to CRC
- Cross-section is obtained by the ratio of detected power: seeing to ELS / seeing to CRC

**BR-CRC** 

- •For this test, E-Plane of trans. wave should be vertical.
- •Geometry b/w BR and CRC is better for radio transmission.

![](_page_50_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_13.jpeg)

# **Auto-correlation: Event Clustering?**

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Event counting as a function of angular distances
No significant clustering found
Clustering at 57EeV in 10~20°? < 3σ</li>

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **UHE Photon Limit by SD**

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

G.Rubtsov, Oral 1266
Gamma-showers have curved front.
Use SD 3 years data

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

### STATISTICAL POWER

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)