


# ガンマ線・宇宙線物理

副題:Tibet AS $\gamma$  実験により  
宇宙線の起源・加速機構・伝播  
の解明に挑む

瀧田正人, ICRR, U. of Tokyo

Spring School, @ICRR U. of Tokyo,  
March 2, 2022

# Discovery of cosmic rays by Victor HESS (in 1912) getting on a balloon




(a)



(b)

Cosmic rays: Particles from outer space (H, He, C, N, O,...Fe nuclei)


**Our Galaxy**  
100,000 light year



**Origin of  
Comic Rays !?**

0.001  
light year

# Origin of cosmic rays ?



# チベット空気シャワー観測装置の研究目的


大気チェレンコフ望遠鏡と相補的な  
広視野(約2sr)連続観測高エネルギー宇宙線望遠鏡

3~100TeVの高エネルギー $\gamma$ 線放射天体の  
探索、 $10^{14} \sim 10^{17}$ の一次宇宙線の観測から、  
宇宙線の起源、加速機構、伝播の研究を行う。

太陽活動期における“太陽の影”  
(太陽による宇宙線の遮蔽効果)を観測し、  
太陽近傍および惑星間磁場の大局部的構造を知る。



# Our site : Tibet



Yangbajing , Tibet, China

90° 53'E, 30° 11'N, 4,300 m a.s.l. (606g/cm<sup>2</sup>)

# Why in Tibet?


## 1. 1–100TeV領域宇宙 $\gamma$ 線

→大気中で減衰

→Sea Level に到達しない。

## 2. Knee領域宇宙線

→エネルギー決定精度の  
原子核依存性が少ない。






# The Tibet AS $\gamma$ Collaboration



M. Amenomori(1), S. Asano(2), Y. W. Bao(3), X. J. Bi(4), D. Chen(5), T. L. Chen(6), W. Y. Chen(4), Xu Chen(4), Y. Chen(3), Cirennima(6), S. W. Cui(7), Danzengluobu(6), L. K. Ding(4), J. H. Fang(4,8), K. Fang(4), C. F. Feng(9), Zhaoyang Feng(4), Z. Y. Feng(10), Qi Gao(6), A. Gomi(11), Q. B. Gou(4), Y. Q. Guo(4), Y. Y. Guo(4), H. H. He(4), Z. T. He(7), K. Hibino(12), N. Hotta(13), Haibing Hu(6), H. B. Hu(4), K. Y. Hu(4,8), J. Huang(4), H. Y. Jia(10), L. Jiang(4), P. Jiang(5), H. B. Jin(5), K. Kasahara(14), Y. Katayose(11), C. Kato(2), S. Kato(15), T. Kawashima(15), K. Kawata(15), M. Kozai(16), D. Kurashige(11), Labaciren(6), G. M. Le(17), A. F. Li(18,9,4), H. J. Li(6), W. J. Li(4,10), Y. Li(5), Y. H. Lin(4,8), B. Liu(19), C. Liu(4), J. S. Liu(4), L. Y. Liu(5), M. Y. Liu(6), W. Liu(4), X. L. Liu(5), Y.-Q. Lou(20, 21, 22), H. Lu(4), X. R. Meng(6), Y. Meng(4,8), K. Munakata(2), K. Nagaya(11), Y. Nakamura(15), Y. Nakazawa(23), H. Nanjo(1), C. C. Ning(6), M. Nishizawa(24), M. Ohnishi(15), S. Okukawa(11), S. Ozawa(25), L. Qian(5), X. Qian(5), X. L. Qian(26), X. B. Qu(27), T. Saito(28), Y. Sakakibara(11), M. Sakata(29), T. Sako(15), T. K. Sako(15), J. Shao(4,9), M. Shibata(11), A. Shiomi(23), H. Sugimoto(30), W. Takano(12), M. Takita(15), Y. H. Tan(4), N. Tateyama(12), S. Torii(31), H. Tsuchiya(32), S. Udo(12), H. Wang(4), Y. P. Wang(6), Wangdui(6), H. R. Wu(4), Q. Wu(6), J. L. Xu(5), L. Xue(9), Z. Yang(4), Y. Q. Yao(5), J. Yin(5), Y. Yokoe(15), N. P. Yu(5), A. F. Yuan(6), L. M. Zhai(5), C. P. Zhang(5), H. M. Zhang(4), J. L. Zhang(4), X. Zhang(3), X. Y. Zhang(9), Y. Zhang(4), Yi Zhang(33), Ying Zhang(4), S. P. Zhao(4), Zhaxisangzhu(6) and X. X. Zhou(10)

(1) Department of Physics, Hirosaki University, Hirosaki 036-8561, Japan (2) Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan  
(3) School of Astronomy and Space Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China (4) Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China (5) National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China (6) Department of Mathematics and Physics, Tibet University, Lhasa 850000, China (7) Department of Physics, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050016, China (8) University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China (9) Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China (10) Institute of Modern Physics, SouthWest Jiaotong University, Chengdu 610031, China (11) Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan (12) Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University, Yokohama 221-8686, Japan (13) Faculty of Education, Utsunomiya University, Utsunomiya 321-8505, Japan (14) Faculty of Systems Engineering, Shibaura Institute of Technology, Omiya 330-8570, Japan (15) Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8582, Japan (16) Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (ISAS/JAXA), Sagamihara 252-5210, Japan (17) National Center for Space Weather, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081, China (18) School of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong Agriculture University, Taian 271018, China (19) Department of Astronomy, School of Physical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China (20) Department of Physics and Tsinghua Centre for Astrophysics (THCA), Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China (21) Tsinghua University-National Astronomical Observatories of China (NAOC) Joint Research Center for Astrophysics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China (22) Department of Astronomy, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China (23) College of Industrial Technology, Nihon University, Narashino 275-8575, Japan (24) National Institute of Informatics, Tokyo 101-8430, Japan (25) National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Tokyo 184-8795, Japan (26) Department of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Shandong Management University, Jinan 250357, China (27) College of Science, China University of Petroleum, Qingdao 266555, China (28) Tokyo Metropolitan College of Industrial Technology, Tokyo 116-8523, Japan (29) Department of Physics, Konan University, Kobe 658-8501, Japan (30) Shonan Institute of Technology, Fujisawa 251-8511, Japan (31) Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan (32) Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai-mura 319-1195, Japan (33) Key Laboratory of Dark Matter and Space Astronomy, Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210034, China


# Tibet-III Air Shower (AS) Array



4,300 m a.s.l. (606 g/cm<sup>2</sup>)


- Number of Scinti. Det.
- Effective Area for AS
- Energy region
- Angular Resolution  
(Gamma rays)
- Energy Resolution  
(Gamma rays)
- F.O.V.
- Trigger Rate

0.5 m<sup>2</sup> x 789  
~37,000 m<sup>2</sup>  
~3TeV - 100 PeV  
~0.4° @ 10 TeV  
~0.2° @ 100 TeV  
~50% @ 10 TeV  
~20% @ 100TeV  
~2 sr  
1.7 KHz



# 空気シャワー

高エネルギー原子核宇宙線（陽子等）や  
宇宙ガンマ線




空気シャワー（電子・  
陽電子・ガンマ線  
ミューオン）

# Air Shower Detection

2<sup>nd</sup> particle density




Cosmic ray energy



2<sup>nd</sup> particle timing



Cosmic ray direction




Air shower rate triggered by Tibet III ~1700Hz


# Performance by Moon's Shadow

The Astrophysical Journal,  
692, 61–72(2009)



- Absolute Energy Scale
- Angular Resolution
- Pointing Accuracy



Pointing Error  
 $< 0.011^\circ$





Absolute Energy Scale Error  $< 12\%$   
 $+4.5\% (\pm 8.6\text{stat.} \pm 6.7\text{syst.})\%$




# Search for TeV anti-protons by the Moon's shadow

3TeV 40 $\sigma$



Amenomori et al.  
Astroparticle Physics, 28, (2007) 137-142



M.Simon et al. ApJ 499 (1998)250.

Dotted line: extragalactic anti-matter model





S.A. Stephan et al. Space Sci. Rev. 46 (1987) 31.

# ガンマ線放射天体の探索

チベットで観測された点源

- ★ 超新星残骸 かに星雲からの定常ガンマ線
- ★ 活動的銀河核 Mrk421, Mrk501からのフレアガンマ線

1999—2003年 全北天探索




2000—2001年フレア

# Cosmic Ray Anisotropy at multi-TeV energies (宇宙線)の伝播


## 2D Large-scale Anisotropy Map

Amenomori et al, Science, 314, 439 (2006)




## Cygnus Region

① MGRO J2033+42



② MGRO J2019+37


# 10-1000TeV CR Sidereal Anisotropy (Tibet)




M. Amenomori et al, ApJ, 836, 153-1-7, (2016)

>300 TeV new component!, consistent with IceCube >400 TeV  
16

# Compton-Getting Anisotropy at Solar Time Frame



Amenomori et al., ApJL, 672 (2008) L53



**Expected** Amplitude  $3.86 \times 10^{-2} \%$

Phase 6 [hr]

**Data** Amplitude  $(4.06 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2} \%$


Phase  $6.1 \pm 0.2$  [hr]

→ CG detected at  $19.6\sigma$  consistent with expected

- Reliability and calibration for sidereal anisotropy ( $\sim 0.01\%$ )
- Only Tibet AS $\gamma$  experiment showing a clear sinusoidal curve

# All Particle Energy Spectrum in the Knee region

$10^{14}\text{eV} \sim 10^{17}\text{eV}$  (3 orders)




Amenomori *et al.*,  
ApJ, 678, 1165 (2008)

| Model      | Index of spectrum | Energy range (eV)               |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| QGSJET +HD | $-2.67 \pm 0.01$  | $< 10^{15} \text{ eV}$          |
|            | $-3.10 \pm 0.01$  | $> 4 \times 10^{15} \text{ eV}$ |
| QGSJET +PD | $-2.65 \pm 0.01$  | $< 10^{15} \text{ eV}$          |
|            | $-3.08 \pm 0.01$  | $> 4 \times 10^{15} \text{ eV}$ |
| SIBYLL +HD | $-2.67 \pm 0.01$  | $< 10^{15} \text{ eV}$          |
|            | $-3.12 \pm 0.01$  | $> 4 \times 10^{15} \text{ eV}$ |


# All particle spectrum around the knee

(Slide from M.Shibata, Y.N.U.)


CASA/MIA




HEGRA




KASCADE




DICE



BASJE



TIBET



# Extra component

All data agree if we apply energy scale correction within 20% by normalizing to direct observations.



Extra component can be approximated by

$$E^{-2} \exp\left[-\frac{E}{4\text{PeV}}\right],$$

suggesting **nearby source(s)**.


Since P and He component do not show the excess at the knee, the extra component should be attributed to heavy element such as Fe.

(Slide from M.Shibata, Y.N.U.)





(W.Bednarek and R.J.Protheroe ,2002,APh)

# Tibet P +He spectrum does not show excess at the knee



# 一次宇宙線陽子・He成分の観測




## Knee領域の陽子スペクトル



Burst検出器：100台

検出器総面積：80 m<sup>2</sup>


## Knee領域のHeスペクトル



エネルギーが  
高いと  
重粒子の割合  
が増加


# 「太陽の影」影

太陽による宇宙線の遮蔽



「太陽の影」の  
深さ、方向、形に影響

TeV宇宙線 (陽子) → 荷電粒子  
ラーモア半径  
~7.4AU ( $B=30\mu G$  地球近辺)  
~ $0.16R_\odot$  ( $B=300mG$  太陽近辺)  
→ 太陽磁場構造のプローブ！



# 太陽の影 10 TeV 年変化 Tibet-II Excess/B.G.(%)

# 仮定する太陽圏の磁場

コロナ磁場 → 2つのSource Surface モデル (PFSS / CSSS)

Kitt Peak の太陽表面磁場の観測から推測する  
太陽の 1 自転周期(~27日)ごとの平均モデル


惑星間磁場 → パーカー・スパイラルモデル

太陽風速度は名大IPS観測の緯度依存を考慮

地磁気 → 双極子磁場モデル


極小期(1996年)

PFSS



極大期(2000年)


PFSS



*Pictures from  
K. Hakamada*

# Past Results (Tibet-II >10TeV)


*Amenomori et al, PRL, 111, 011101 (2013)*



- ✓ Discovery of a clear anti-correlation of the deficits with SN
- ✓ Comparison b/w coronal MF models (PFSS/CSSS)


# 太陽の影の観測 TeV領域

Amenomori et al., ApJ, 860, 13 (2018)



- ✓ Tibet-III (>3TeV) 2000年-2009年(10年間)
- ✓ 太陽方向を中心にした $4^\circ \times 4^\circ$ の欠損率マップ

# 影の深さの変化 全期間 - 3 TeV



$\chi^2$  test :

$$\chi^2 / \text{dof} = 32.1 / 10 \ (3.4\sigma)$$


$$\chi^2 / \text{dof} = 46.9 / 10 \ (4.8\sigma)$$

3 TeV : CSSSは極大期を再現しない?  
(10TeVはCSSSで良く再現されている)



Coronal Mass Ejection  
の影響？

# 影の深さの変化 CME発生期間を除く




$\chi^2$  test :

$$\chi^2 / \text{dof} = 12.2 / 10 \text{ (0.6}\sigma\text{)}$$

$$\chi^2 / \text{dof} = 21.0 / 10 \text{ (2.0}\sigma\text{)}$$

活動期ではCMEが多く影が深くなり  
実験データを再現  
→ 磁場モデルにはCME等の  
短期変動は考慮されない

# 影の深さの変化 CME発生期間のみ



$\chi^2$  test :


$$\chi^2 / \text{dof} = 23.9 / 7 (3.0\sigma)$$

$$\chi^2 / \text{dof} = 29.4 / 7 (3.7\sigma)$$



CMEは太陽の影を薄める効果  
→ 将来的に宇宙天気予測が可能?

# 影の方向の観測 Toward/Away

Amenomori et al., PRL, 120, 031101(2018)



## 惑星空間磁場の間接測定




**南北** 惑星間空間磁場(IMF)には、極性が逆の Away セクターと Toward セクターが存在し、磁場の  $\# \%$  ( # の符号)によって宇宙線の曲がる方向が逆になる

Toward'sector':') \*<sub>+, -</sub> <0"=>'南向きのずれ

Away'sector':') \*<sub>+, -</sub> >0'=>'北向きのずれ

# 影の方向の観測 Toward/Away

*Amenomori et al., PRL, 120, 031101(2018)*



南北方向のズレ

Data/MC比 →

Away :  $1.54 \pm 0.21_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{syst}}$

Toward :  $1.62 \pm 0.15_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.22_{\text{syst}}$

磁場モデルの問題か？

- ポテンシャルフィールドモデル
- 太陽表面磁場計測の系統誤差  
(モデルへのインプット)

東西方向のズレ

→ ほとんど地磁気で説明できる

## これまでわかった事:

1. Several bright TeV  $\gamma$  point sources !  
Possible diffuse  $\gamma$  signal from Cygnus region?!
2. P, He, all-particle E-spectrum (Galactic cosmic rays accelerated to the knee region)  
エネルギーと共に重粒子の割合が増加

## これからするべき事:観測装置の感度向上

1. 100 TeV (10 – 1000 TeV) region  $\gamma$  astronomy  
Where do galactic cosmic rays under knee come from? (Tibet-III + MD) -> PeVatron(宇宙線の起源)
2. Chemical composition (p ?, Fe?) (Tibet-III + YAC)  
p & Fe knee—>if Z(原子番号)倍—>SNR加速

## □ Next Plans(南北両天での広視野連続観測)

### 1. 北半球 (チベットでon-going)

Tibet AS + MD + YAC EXPERIMENT

Gamma ray: Tibet Muon Detector (**MD**)

Cosmic Rays: Tibet Yangbajing Air shower Core  
detector (**YAC-II**)

### 2. 南半球(ボリビアで計画中)

ALPACA PROJECT

# Tibet AS + MD: 100 TeV $\gamma$ -ray astronomy

100 TeV領域 (10-1000TeV) ガンマ線天文学

By

Tibet-III (AS) + a large underground  
muon detector array (MD)


100 TeV以上の ガンマ線を観測できれば世界初

>Origin of cosmic rays and acceleration

mechanism (PeVatron) and limit at SNRs.


>Diffuse gamma rays

# Origin of Cosmic Rays at the Knee



$$x^2 F_j(x, E_p)$$

Kelner et al., PRD 74, 034018 (2006)



## 宇宙線スペクトル

- ✓ S N R などでの(斜め)衝撃波加速により宇宙線を100~数1000TeV程度まで加速可能
- ✓ Knee~ 4 PeVまでは銀河系内起源?


PeV宇宙線を加速している天体 = **PeVatron**

CMBによる吸収で銀河系内または超近傍天体

## ガンマ線スペクトル

- ✓ 宇宙線 + 星間物質  $\rightarrow \pi^0 + \dots \rightarrow 2\gamma$
- ✓ 陽子の最大エネルギーの一桁下のガンマ線・ニュートリノが生成

# p/ $\gamma$ discrimination by muons



Number of muons (<100 m from core, 4300m a.s.l.)

100TeV Proton  
~50

100TeV Gamma  
~1

# チベット空気シャワー観測装置




□ チベット ( $90.522^{\circ}\text{E}$ ,  $30.102^{\circ}\text{N}$ ) 標高4300 m

## 現行スペック

- シンチレーション検出器数  $0.5 \text{ m}^2 \times 597$
- 空気シャワー有効面積  $\sim 65,700 \text{ m}^2$
- 観測エネルギー  $>\text{TeV}$
- 角度分解能  
 $\sim 0.5^{\circ} @ 10\text{TeV } \gamma$   
 $\sim 0.2^{\circ} @ 100\text{TeV } \gamma$
- エネルギー分解能  
 $\sim 40\% @ 10\text{TeV } \gamma$   
 $\sim 20\% @ 100\text{TeV } \gamma$
- 視野  $\sim 2 \text{ sr}$



→ 空気シャワー中の二次粒子(主に $e^{+/-}, \gamma$ )を観測し  
一次宇宙線エネルギー、方向を決定



# 水チェレンコフ型ミューオン観測装置

Kawata et al., JPS meeting (2018)


- ✓ 地下 2.4m (物質厚  $\sim 515\text{g/cm}^2 \sim 19X_0$ )
- ✓  $7.35\text{m} \times 7.35\text{m} \times$  水深1.5m 水槽
- ✓ 20"Φ PMT (HAMAMATSU R3600)
- ✓ 水槽材質：コンクリート+タイベック



→空気シャワー中のミューオン数を測定し、ガンマ線／核子選別

2014年2月 – 2017年5月 有効観測時間：720日

# Sensitivity to $\gamma$ -ray point sources (AS 1yr/ IACT 50hrs, $5\sigma$ or 10 ev)



+Full:  $10000 \text{m}^2$  MD

$X \sim 10 @ 10 \text{TeV}$   
 $X \sim 10 @ 100 \text{TeV}$

+33%:  $3400 \text{m}^2$  MD

$X 3 \sim 4 @ 10 \text{TeV}$   
 $X \sim 10 @ 100 \text{TeV}$



MD construction scene



Installing a 20 inch PMT in a MD cell.



Tyvek sheet walls and two 20 inch PMTs

2013/10/10



Image © 2014 CNES / Astrium




2013/10/10

3,400m<sup>2</sup>  
地下施設




Image © 2014 CNES / Astrium

# かに星雲の観測(チベット実験)



First Detection of Sub-PeV  $\gamma$  ( $5.6\sigma$ )  
Amenomori et al., PRL, 123, 051101 (2019)

# 「かに星雲」のエネルギースペクトル



The highest energy  $\gamma \sim 450$  TeV  $\rightarrow$  sub-PeV ガンマ線天文学の始まり


*Amenomori et al., PRL 123, 051101, (2019)*

曲線：HEGRA のデータ (*Aharonian+, ApJ, 614, 897 (2004)*) を基とした場合  
の逆コンプトンモデルで期待されるガンマ線頻度

# SNR G106.3+2.7 (VERITASによる観測)

Excess count map  $> 0.63$  TeV



V. A. Acciari et al., ApJL, 703, L6 (2009)



- 年齢 10 kyr 距離 0.8 kpc (if SNR is associated with Boomerang PWN)  
大きさ 14pc x 6pc *Kothes et al, ApJ, 560, 236 (2001)*
- ガンマ線( $>0.63$  TeV)放射領域の中心は分子雲の場所に一致


# SNR G106.3+2.7 (HAWC による観測)

Albert et al., ApJL, 896, L29 (2020)



- Source position はパルサー位置、分子雲位置ともに無矛盾
- スペクトルは 100 TeV 近くまで伸びている


# チベット実験の観測結果：SNR G106.3+2.7



- ✓ 観測領域は CO放射領域から示唆される分子雲&超新星残骸の領域と一致
- ※VERITASの結果と一致

50

# チベット実験の観測結果：SNR G106.3+2.7



超新星残骸 & 分子雲の方向からsub-PeVガンマ線を世界初検出  
-> 初めてのPeVatronの候補！

# Event Distribution


Equatorial coordinates

Blue points:  
Tibet AS +MD  
(Circle size  $\propto$  Energy)


Red plus marks:  
TeV sources  
(TeVCat catalog)

>0.398 PeV ( $10^{2.6}$  TeV)  
38 events in our FoV


→ Not from known TeV sources!  
& No signal > 10 TeV around them



**100 – 158 TeV**




**158 – 398 TeV**



**398 – 1000 TeV**

# Distribution of distance to the closest TeV source for events $> 0.398$ PeV

M. Amenomori et al., PRL, 126, 141101 (2021)




Diffuse Model: Lipari & Vernetto, PRD 98, 143003, (2018)


# Galactic latitude distributions

M. Amenomori et al., PRL, 126, 141101 (2021)


100 – 158 TeV



158 – 398 TeV



398 – 1000 TeV



Shaded Histograms: Model shape  
normalized to DATA ( $|b| < 5^\circ$ )

Model: Lipari & Vernetto,  
PRD 98, 143003, (2018)

# Number of sub-PeV events in the direction of galactic plane observed by Tibet AS+MD array

*M. Amenomori et al., PRL, 126, 141101 (2021)*

Highest gamma-ray energy = 0.957 (+ 0.166 - 0.141) PeV  
 (Eres  $\sim$ 10 % around 400 TeV & energy scale uncertainty  $\sim$ 13% in quadrature)

TABLE S1. Number of events observed by the Tibet AS+MD array in the direction of the galactic plane. The galactic longitude of the arrival direction is integrated across our field of view (approximately  $22^\circ < l < 225^\circ$ ). The ratios ( $\alpha$ ) of exposures between the ON and OFF regions are 0.135 for  $|b| < 5^\circ$  and 0.27 for  $|b| < 10^\circ$ , respectively.

| Energy bin<br>(TeV) | $ b  < 5^\circ$ |   |                              | $ b  < 10^\circ$ |   |                              |
|---------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------|------------------|---|------------------------------|
|                     | $N_{\text{ON}}$ | $N_{\text{BG}}$<br>(= $\alpha N_{\text{OFF}}$ ) | Significance<br>( $\sigma$ ) | $N_{\text{ON}}$  | $N_{\text{BG}}$<br>(= $\alpha N_{\text{OFF}}$ ) | Significance<br>( $\sigma$ ) |
| 100 – 158           | 513             | 333   | 8.5                          | 858              | 655   | 6.6                          |
| 158 – 398           | 117             | 58.1  | 6.3                          | 182              | 114   | 5.1                          |
| 398 – 1000          | 16              | 1.35  | 6.0                          | 23               | 2.73  | 5.9                          |

TABLE S2. Galactic diffuse gamma-ray fluxes measured by the Tibet AS+MD array.

| Energy bin<br>(TeV) | Representative $E$<br>(TeV) | Flux ( $25^\circ < l < 100^\circ,  b  < 5^\circ$ )<br>( $\text{TeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$ ) | Flux ( $50^\circ < l < 200^\circ,  b  < 5^\circ$ )<br>( $\text{TeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$ ) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 100 – 158           | 121                         | $(3.16 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-15}$   | $(1.69 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-15}$   |
| 158 – 398           | 220                         | $(3.88 \pm 1.00) \times 10^{-16}$   | $(2.27 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-16}$   |
| 398 – 1000          | 534                         | $(6.86^{+3.30}_{-2.40}) \times 10^{-17}$  | $(2.99^{+1.40}_{-1.02}) \times 10^{-17}$  |


# Energy Spectrum

Models: Lipari & Vernetto, PRD 98, 143003, (2018)

4 ev / 10 ev from  
Cygnus cocoon ( $< 4^\circ$ )


After excluding the contribution from the known TeV sources (within  $0.5^\circ$  in radius) listed in the TeVCat catalog (~13% to the diffuse flux, but no contamination to events  $> 0.398$  PeV)

The measured fluxes are reasonably consistent with Lipari's galactic diffuse gamma-ray model assuming the hadronic cosmic-ray origin.





# Electron origin? vs Proton origin?



- ✓ Observed gamma rays are isolated, not coming from known gamma-ray sources.
  - **Electrons** lose their energy quickly, so they **should stay near the source**.
  - **Protons** don't lose energy and **can escape farther from the source**.



**Strong evidence for sub-PeV  $\gamma$  rays induced by cosmic rays**

- ✓ This is **the first evidence for existence of PeVatrons**, in the past and/or present Galaxy, which accelerate protons up to the Peta electron volt (PeV) region.


# YAC計画 (Yangbajing Airshower Core detectors) Towards Chemical composition and energy spectrum measurement in the Knee Energy Region



# **YAC-II (Yangbajing Air-shower Core ) detectors for chemical composition study in Knee region**





**Plastic Scintillator  
&Wavelength Shifter**




2PMTs cover  $1\sim 10^6$  particles


# **YAC-II started in 2014, accumulating data**






# Tibet-III + YAC-II + MD (MC) for Knee Study





Muon Detector MC Ne- $\bar{\nu}$  Plot




# Features of YAC-II observables






# ANN output

## Proton separation




Contamination is exclusively by helium nuclei.  
The fraction of helium events missidentified as protons is about 40% of helium events by  $T_c=0.4$ .

## P+He separation




20% of heavier nuclei than helium contaminates to P+He region.

# Expected proton spectrum (YAC-II) Proton kneeを探せ



# Expected He Spectrum (YAC-II)

## Helium kneeを探せ



# The ALPACA Experiment

Andes

Large-area

PArticle detector for

Cosmic ray physics and

Astronomy

# The ALPACA Collaboration



## IIF, UMSA

C. A. H. Condori  
P. Miranda  
C. Nina  
M. Raljevich  
H. Rivera  
M. Subieta  
R. Ticona

## Univ. de Guadalajara

E. de la Fuente  
J. Lozoya  
F. Orozco-Luna  
I. Toledano-Juarez  
H. Torres

## ICRR, Univ. of Tokyo

S. Kato  
T. Kawashima  
K. Kawata  
M. Anzorena  
Y. Nakamura  
M. Ohnishi  
T. Sako  
T. K. Sako  
M. Takita  
Y. Yokoe

## Fac. of Engn., Kanagawa Univ.

K. Hibino  
W. Takano  
S. Udo

## Fac. of Engn., Yokohama Natl. Univ.

A. Gomi  
Y. Katayose  
D. Kurashige  
K. Nagaya  
S. Okukawa  
Y. Sakakibara

## Utsunomiya Univ.

N. Hotta

## Dept. of Phys., Shinshu Univ.

S. Asano  
C. Kato  
W. Kihara  
Y. Ko  
K. Munakata

## Coll. of Engn., Chubu Univ.

T. Koi  
A. Oshima  
S. Shibata  
K. Yamazaki

## Fac. of Engn., Aichi Inst. of Tech.

H. Kojima

## Grad. Sch. of Sci., Osaka City Univ.

R. Mayta  
S. Ogio  
Y. Tsunesada

NII

M. Nishizawa

## Tokyo Metro. Coll. of Ind. Tech.

T. Saito

## Coll. of Ind. Tech., Nihon Univ.

A. Shiomi  
Y. Nakazawa

RIKEN

N. Tajima

## Fac. of Engn., Osaka Electro-Comm. Univ.

Y. Tameda

## Fac. of Info. Sci., Hiroshima City Univ.

K. Tanaka

JAEA

H. Tsuchiya

# ALPACA Site

## Mt. Chacaltaya, Bolivia




# Site Survey

UMSA CR  
Observatory 5200m asl

ALPACAsite  
4740m asl

La Paz



# UMSACosmic Ray Laboratory



- ✓ Mt Chacaltaya(5,200m asl)
- ✓ CR Lab at the highest altitude
- ✓ Discovery of pion  
C. F. Powell in 1947 (1950 Nobel Prize)



# Main purpose of ALPACA

- 100 TeV  $\gamma$ -ray astronomy in South
- Locating origin of comic rays

by detecting cosmic 100 TeV gamma rays  
from cosmic ray accelerator in our galaxy:

PeVatrons!

# Why in Bolivia

- Flat land at high altitude: (> 4000m)  
Cosmic rays absorbed in atmosphere before reaching sea level
- Galactic Center: Observable in the southern hemisphere (not in the northern hemisphere)  
Most promising candidate of the origin of cosmic rays
- Long-term collaboration between Bolivia and Japan  
(Good infrastructure: Electricity, water, road,...)  
Since 1962 in the field of cosmic rays, for example, BASJE



Observation Cite: **Chacaltaya Plateau**

500 m × 500 m flat within  $\pm 1^\circ$


4,740 m above sea level ( $16^\circ 23' S$ ,  $68^\circ 08' W$ )



# Schematic view of ALPACA



1m<sup>2</sup> plastic  
scintillation detector




Underground water  
Cherenkov muon  
detector ( $56 \text{ m}^2$ /  
unit)

# Performance of ALPACA air shower array

|                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| Location:                    | 4,740 m above sea level<br>(16° 23' S, 68° 08' W) |
| # of scintillation detectors | 1 m <sup>2</sup> x 401 detectors                  |
| Effective area               | ~83,000 m <sup>2</sup>                            |
| Modal energy                 | ~5TeV   |
| Angular resolution           | ~0.2° @100 TeV                                    |
| Energy resolution            | ~20-25% @100TeV                                   |
| Field of view                | ~2 sr   |

# ガンマ線点源に対する感度




CTA Review by Kubo (JPS 2015)  
M.Daniel, Proc. of 28<sup>th</sup> Texas Sympo. (2015)

\*Based on MC Simulation  
For the Tibet AS+MD


# Target $\gamma$ Sources

- Galactic Center
- Fermi Bubbles
- Young SNR
- Other Galactic Point-like Sources
- Nearby Extragalactic Sources

# Galactic Center as PeVatron?



- ✓ Detection of diffuse component
- ✓  $>100\text{TeV}$   $\gamma$ -ray expected
- ✓ PeVatron candidate





Abramowski, et al, Nature (2016)


$$\delta \sim -29^\circ$$

# Fermi Bubbles

- ✓ sub-PeV  $\gamma$  rays expected, if sub-PeV  $\nu$ 's detected by IceCube are of hadronic origin.
- ✓ Fermi Bubbles: Very extended ( $\sim 0.8\text{sr}$ )  $\gamma$ -ray sources difficult for IACTs to cover them all.




C. Lunardini, et al, PRD (2015)



Bubbles observed by Fermi-LAT


# Young SNRs



*Reproduced from  
slides presented by  
S. Funk (TeVPA  
2011)*

|                     |     |                    |     |
|---------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|
| W51C (35k yrs)      | —●— | PuppisA (3.7k yrs) | —○— |
| W28 (30k yrs)       | —●— | RXJ0852 (2.5k yrs) | —○— |
| W44 (20k yrs)       | —●— | RXJ1713 (2.0k yrs) | —○— |
| IC443 (10k yrs)     | —●— | SN1006 (1.0k yrs)  | —○— |
| Cyg Loop (5.0k yrs) | —●— | Tycho (0.4k yrs)   | —○— |
| W49B (4.0k yrs)     | —●— | CasA (0.3k yrs)    | —○— |


# Young SNRs




*Reproduced from  
slides presented by  
S. Funk (TeVPA  
2011)*

SNRs Observed  
by Fermi & IACTs

$\delta \sim -42^\circ$




# Young SNRs



*Reproduced from  
slides presented by  
S. Funk (TeVPA  
2011)*


SNRs Observed  
by Fermi & IACTs

$\delta \sim -40^\circ$



83


# Young SNRs




*Reproduced from  
slides presented by  
S. Funk (TeVPA  
2011)*

SNRs Observed  
by Fermi & IACTs


$\delta \sim -46^\circ$




# Other Galactic Sources



- ✓ More than dozen sources
- ✓ Many sources are dark in other wave length  
→ Dark particle accelerator
- ✓ Many candidate of PWN (excess is located near pulsar)
- Aharonian et al, ApJ, 636, 777 (2006)*
- ✓ Diffuse  $\gamma$  from Galactic plane




# Nearby Extragalactic Source CenA



*Aharonian et al, ApJ, 695, L40 (2009)  
Sahakyan, et al, ApJ, 770, L6(2013)*


- ✓ Distance: 3.8Mpc very nearby!
- ✓ Relativistic jet
- ✓ Flat spectrum above TeV region?
- ✓ No significant time variation?



$\delta \sim -43^\circ$

# The ALPAQUITA Experiment

*The prototype experiment of ALPACA*




- Scintillation detectors ( $1 \text{ m}^2$ )
- Muon detectors



- Surface air-shower array:  $18,450 \text{ m}^2$   
( $\sim 1/4$  of the ALPACA surface array)
- MD:  $900 \text{ m}^2$  ( $56 \text{ m}^2 \times 16$  cells)
- Motivation: Moon & Sun shadow, CR anisotropy, Thunder cloud & CR,  
VHE  $\gamma$ -ray sources @ 100TeV
- The surface array is now under construction
- MD will be constructed in 2022  
Also aim the start of DAQ

# ALPAQUITA実験：物理目標


- 南天における宇宙線異方性の観測
  - IceCube  $E_{\text{th}} = \sim 10 \text{TeV}$  ( $-90^\circ < \text{Dec.} < -20^\circ$ )
  - ALPAQUITA  $E_{\text{th}} = \sim 5 \text{TeV}$  ( $-70^\circ < \text{Dec.} < +30^\circ$ )  
→ 銀河中心も含む赤緯での異方性解析
- 太陽(月)の影の観測
  - 赤道に近いので一年を通して観測可能
  - 月の影  $\sim 15-20\sigma / \text{year}$
- 雷と宇宙線の研究
  - 電場計と気象モニター
- 明るいガンマ線源の探索



南天 : IceCube 20TeV

# Sensitivity to VHE Gamma-ray Sources



*Sensitivity curves in 1yr5 $\sigma$*



- ~7 sources in 1-yr obs. above 10 TeV
- 4 sources will be detected above 100 TeV !  
HESS J1616-508  
HESS J1702-420  
HESS J1708-443  
HESS J1843-033

For the energy spectra of the sources:  
H.E.S.S. A&A 612, A1 (2018) & HAWC Phys. Rev. Lett 124, 021102 (2020)


# ALPAQUITA Construction (the Current Status)



Electronics hut & detectors




# Inside the electronics hut




# ALPAQUITA Construction (the Current Status 2)

---



# The Half ALPACA Experiment (2023)

*1<sup>st</sup> phase of the ALPACA experiment*



- Surface AS array : Consists of 200 scinti. Detectors  
Geometrical area :  $83,000 \text{ m}^2$
- MD array : Consists of 64 MD cells  
Geometrical area :  $3,600 \text{ m}^2$

# まとめ

- Tibet AS $\gamma$  実験: チベット高原 標高4,300m **on-going**  
65,000m<sup>2</sup> AS + 3,400m<sup>2</sup> 水チェレンコフ型MD
  - ★ Targets  
10 - 1000 TeV gamma-ray astronomy (**Northern sky**)  
CR anisotropy, Chemical composition  $\sim 10^{15}$  eV, Sun shadow
- ALPACA計画: チヤカルタヤ山 中腹 標高4,740m  
83,000m<sup>2</sup> AS (~400台)+ 5,400m<sup>2</sup> 水チェレンコフ型MD
  - ★ Targets  
10 - 1000 TeV gamma-ray astronomy (**Southern sky**)  
CR anisotropy, Chemical composition  $10^{15}$  eV , Sun shadow
- ALPAQUITA: プロトタイプ空気シャワーアレイ  
1.0 m<sup>2</sup> × 97台、~18,000 m<sup>2</sup> AS + 900 m<sup>2</sup> MD **2022年**
- Half ALPACA: 83,000m<sup>2</sup> AS (~200台) + 3,600m<sup>2</sup> MD **2023年**

Thank you for your attention!



ALPAQUITA (~1/4 AS) will be constructed in 2022<sub>95</sub>

End