

#### Updated results from T2K experiment with 3.13x10<sup>21</sup> POT

Kimihiro OKUMURA (ICRR) for T2K collaboration okumura@icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp ICRR seminar, January 10th 2019

## T2K (Tokai to Kamioka) (2010~)



- Long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment with high intensity muon neutrino beam from J-PARC MR to Super-Kamiokande (Super-K)
- Goals:
  - Precise measurement of  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance
  - Measurement of  $v_e$  appearance  $\rightarrow$  Discovery

→ Search for leptonic CP violation ( $\delta_{CP}$ )

• Search for non-standard oscillation, Cross section measurements

## **T2K collaboration**

#### 12countries, ~500 members

TRIUMF U. B. Columbia U. Regina U. Toronto U. Victoria U. Winnipeg York U.

CEA Saclay LLR E. Poly. LPNHE Paris

Aachen U.

INFN, U. Bari INFN, U. Naples INFN, U. Padua INFN, U. Rome ICRR Kamioka ICRR RCCN Kavli IPMU KEK Kobe U. Kyoto U. Miyagi U. Edu. Okayama U. Osaka City U. Tokyo Institute Tech Tokyo Metropolitan U. U. Tokyo Tokyo U. of Science Yokohama National U.

FJ PAN, Cracow NCBJ, Warsaw U. Silesia Katowice U. Warsaw Warsaw U. T. Wroclaw U.

INR IFAE, Barcelona

IFIC, Valencia U. Autonoma Madrid ETH Zurich U. Bern U. Geneva

Imperial C London Lancaster U. Oxford U. Oxford U. Oueen Mary U. London Royal Holloway U. London STFC/Daresbury STFC/RAL U. Glasgow

U. Liverpool

U. Sheffield

U. Warwick

U. of Houston

Boston U. Colorado St U. Duke U. Louisiana State U. Michigan St. U. SLAC Stony Brook U. Stony Brook U. U. C. Irvine U. of Colorado U. of Pittsburgh U. Rochester U. Washington

IFIRSE IOP, VAST

T2K Breakthrough Prize Celebration, 2016

## **Neutrino Oscillation**

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Flavor state}_{(\text{Interaction})} & \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix} \right| = U_{PMNS} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Mass state}_{(\text{Propagation})} \\ & \\ \mathsf{J}_{PMNS} \end{array} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta_{23} & \sin\theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin\theta_{23} & \cos\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{13} & 0 & \sin\theta_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos\theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{12} & \sin\theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{12} & \cos\theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \\ & \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Atmospheric, LBL} \\ & \\ \Delta m_{32}^2 \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^2 \\ \sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.4 \sim 0.6 \end{array} \right| & \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{sin}^2\theta_{13} \simeq 0.021 \\ & \\ \sin^2\theta_{13} \simeq 0.021 \\ \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Atmaspheric}_1 = \mathsf{Atmaspheric}_1 = \mathsf{Atmaspheric}_1 \\ & \\ \mathrm{Solar, KamLAND} \\ & \\ & \\ \mathrm{Solar}_1^2 \simeq 7.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}^2 \\ & \\ & \\ \mathrm{Sin}^2\theta_{12} \simeq 0.30 \\ \end{array} \end{aligned}$$









 $\theta_{23}$  Octant

Sterile neutrino

4

#### **Neutrino Oscillation in T2K**

• Disappearance of muon neutrino  $(\theta_{23}, \Delta m^2_{32})$ :

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\mu}) \simeq 1 - (\cos^{4}\theta_{13}\sin^{2}2\theta_{23} + \sin^{2}\theta_{23}\sin^{2}2\theta_{13})\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^{2}L}{4E}\right)$$
  
\uparrow Leading term

• Appearance of electron neutrino ( $\theta_{13}$ ) and CP measurement ( $\delta_{CP}$ )

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}) \simeq \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^{2}L}{4E}\right) \left(1 + \frac{4\sqrt{2}G_{F}n_{e}E}{\Delta m_{31}^{2}}(1 - 2\sin^{2}\theta_{13})\right) \leftarrow \text{Leading term}$$
  
$$- \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{13} \cos \theta_{13} \sin \delta \sin \left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^{2}L}{4E}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^{2}L}{4E}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\Delta m_{21}^{2}L}{4E}\right)$$
  
$$\land \mathsf{CP violating term}$$

### **CP effect on electron appearance**



- ~25% change at oscillation peak between  $\delta$ =-1/2 $\pi$  and 1/2 $\pi$
- Opposite direction for neutrino and anti-neutrino
  - → Possible to measure CP by independent v and  $\overline{v}$  measurements
- Marginal effect due to neutrino mass hierarchy

**T2K experiment** 

#### **J-PARC Accelerator**



#### **Off-Axis Beam**

- Neutrino beam are pointed to 2.5° off-axis direction from SK direction
  - Produce intense narrow-band beam
  - Optimize peak energy by off-axis angle (~0.6GeV)
  - Suppress high energy flux (Ev>1GeV) producing  $v_e$  backgrounds
- First experiment to utilize off-axis beam





#### **T2K overview**



#### *Off-axis (2.5 °)* $v_{\mu}$ *beam*

- Intense, low energy narrow-band
- Peak E<sub>v</sub> tuned for oscillation max. (~0.6 GeV)
- Reduce BG from high energy tail
- Small  $v_e$  fraction (~1%)



 Monitor intensity and profile spill-by-spill

#### On-axis detector (INGRID)

- Monitor v beam day-by-day
- Detector coverage is 10m x 10m









#### Off-axis detector (ND280)

- Predict interaction rate before oscillation
- UA1 magnet
- Fine grained detector (FGD)
   FGD1: CH target, FGD2: 42% water
- Time projection chamber (TPC), ECAL, POD



#### Far detector (Super-Kamiokande)

- Water Cherenkov detector (total mass ~50 kton)
- Excellent particle ID for  $\mu$  / e particle with ~99% efficiency







#### **Beam Power and Accumulated POT**



- 3.16 x 10<sup>21</sup> Proton On Target (POT) accumulated until May 2018
- neutrino: 1.51 x 10<sup>21</sup> POT, anti-neutrino: 1.65 x 10<sup>21</sup> POT
- ~30% increase of anti-neutrino data from previous result

#### **Neutrino Beam Stability**



Neutrino event rate and direction are stable

## **Super-K Data Quality**

#### Beam timing



#### Neutrino Rate Stability



- Data taking is stable and efficient (~1% inefficiency)
- Clear bunch structure in beam timing
- Stable event rates for both v and v mode

# **Oscillation Analysis**

## **Oscillation Analysis Strategy**



## **Neutrino Flux Predictions**



### **Neutrino Flux Predictions**



#### **Near Detector Fit**



- Initial uncertainties on flux and cross section are further constrained by ND280 data
- Significant reduction on SK prediction uncertainties

Uncertainties on SK event rate w/ and w/o ND fit

Sample	Pre-fit	Post-fit
v-mode 1Rµ	14.6%	5.1%
⊽-mode 1Rµ	12.5%	4.5%
v -mode 1Re	16.9%	8.8%
⊽-mode 1Re	14.4%	7.1%

### Super-K neutrino data sample





#### Super-K Data

Predictions with:  $sin^2\theta_{13}$ =0.0212,  $sin^2\theta_{23}$ =0.528,  $\Delta m^2_{32}$ =2.51x10<sup>-3</sup>, NH

Sample	δ=-π/2	δ=0	δ=π/2	δ=π	Obs.
v-mode 1Rµ	272.4	272.0	272.4	272.8	243
⊽-mode 1Rµ	139.5	139.2	139.5	139.9	140
v-mode 1Re	74.4	62.2	50.6	62.7	75
⊽-mode 1Re	17.1	19.6	21.7	19.3	15
v CC1π⁺	7.0	6.1	4.9	5.9	15







21

### New $\overline{v}_e$ appearance data

#### Full Run1-9 Data (~May 2018)



Previous Data (~Dec 2017)

- Number of  $\overline{v}_e$  1Re events: 9 (prev.)  $\rightarrow$  15 events
- Distribution of new data points agrees better with v̄<sub>e</sub> signal
- Convincing that T2K is really observing  $\overline{v}_e$  appearance



### Systematic uncertainties in N<sub>SK</sub>

	1-Ri	$\mathbf{ng} \ \mu$			1-Ring $e$	
Error source	FHC	RHC	FHC	RHC	FHC 1 d.e.	FHC/RHC
SK Detector	2.40	2.01	2.83	3.80	13.15	1.47
SK FSI+SI+PN	2.21	1.98	3.00	2.31	11.43	1.57
Flux + Xsec constrained	3.27	2.94	3.24	3.10	4.09	2.67
E <sub>b</sub>	2.38	1.72	7.13	3.66	2.95	3.62
$\sigma(\nu_e)/\sigma(\bar{\nu}_e)$	0.00	0.00	2.63	1.46	2.61	3.03
$ m NC1\gamma$	0.00	0.00	1.09	2.60	0.33	1.50
NC Other	0.25	0.25	0.15	0.33	0.99	0.18
Osc	0.03	0.03	2.69	2.49	2.63	0.77
All Systematics	5.12	4.45	8.81	7.13	18.38	5.96
All with osc	5.12	4.45	9.19	7.57	18.51	6.03

### **Oscillation fit result:** $\theta_{13}$ **vs \delta\_{CP}**





- Update reactor constraint: sin<sup>2</sup>θ<sub>13</sub> = 0.0219 ± 0.0012 → 0.0212 ± 0.0008 (PDG2018) (sin<sup>2</sup>2θ<sub>13</sub> = 0.0857 → 0.0830)
- Preferred  $\delta_{CP} \sim -\pi/2$
- Better fit result than sensitivity

### Constraint on $\delta_{CP}$

Data ( $2\sigma$  CL)



Sensitivity

- Best fit:  $\delta_{CP} = -1.885$  radian
- 2σ confidence interval NH: [-2.966, -0.628], IH: [-1.799, -0.979]
- CP conservation points ( $\delta_{CP}$  =0,  $\pi$ ) are both outside of 2 $\sigma$  CL

#### $v_e / \overline{v}_e$ events and $\delta_{CP}/MO/\theta_{23}$ exp'd



## **V**<sub>e</sub> Appearance Result (New)



- Test with two analysis methods: "Rate-only" and "Rate + Shape"
- Introduce scaled  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$ -> $\overline{v}_{e}$  probability:

 $P(\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \bar{\nu}_{e}) = \beta \times P_{\text{PMNS}}(\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \bar{\nu}_{e})$ 

( $\beta$ : 0=no  $\overline{v}_e$  appearance, 1=PMNS)

 Rate+shape result excludes no appearance hypothesis by 2σ level though still inconclusive

	Rate-only	Rate+Shape	
No app. (β=0)	0.0686 (1.82σ)	0.0244 (2.25σ)	
PMNS (β=1)	0.246 (1.16σ)	$0.261(1.12\sigma)$	

# **Future Prospects**

#### **Beam Plan and Expected Sensitivity**



- Reducing systematic error is necessary
  - ~9% (current)  $\rightarrow$  4% (goal)

- MR PS upgrade in 2020
- Upgrade to 1.3 MW beam by
  - repetition rate (2.48  $\rightarrow$  1.16 sec)
  - increase proton / pulse (+28%)
- Associated improvement in neutrino beam line



## Near Detector Upgrade



- Upgrade for 4% systematic error and 3σ exclusion of CP conservation
- Increase acceptance of large angle scattering and low energy particles

- Highly granular scintillator: detector (Super-FDG)
- High angle TPC (x2) + TOF
- TDR under review





Positron, 1 GeV, B = 0.2 T

## Super-K refurbishment and SK-Gd





- Refurbishment work is on-going from summer 2018 for SK-Gd
- Water filling almost completed and will resume from next month
- Gd loading schedule with consideration of J-PARC schedule
- Start from 0.01% Gd
   → 0.1% Gd

## Summary

- T2K collaboration has released updated oscillation results with increased anti-neutrino data up to May 2018
- CP conservation values (δ<sub>CP</sub> =0, π) are not within 2σ CL intervals
  - Strong motivation for further beam data taking for 3σ exclusion
- New result of  $\overline{v}_e$  appearance with  $2\sigma$  CL level
- Many upgrade plans (Beam, Near detector, SK-Gd) are on-going

#### Atmospheric parameter: $\Delta m^2_{32}$ and $\theta_{23}$



- With reactor constraint
- Best fit lies in 2nd octant (sin<sup>2</sup>θ<sub>23</sub>=0.532)
- Consistent with maximal mixing

#### Best-fit and $1\sigma$ conf. interval

	NH	IH
Δm² <sub>32</sub>   (eV²)	2.452 [2.382, 2.523]	2.432 [2.361, 2.501]
<b>sin<sup>2</sup>θ</b> 23	0.532 [0.495, 0.562]	0.532 [0.497, 0.561]

#### JZR NOvA Experiment

- US long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment
  - Fermilab neutrino beam to Sudan mine ⇒ 810 km baseline length
  - Off-axis neutrino beam
  - > 700 kW beam achieved
  - Functionally identical near/far detectors
    - Segmented liquid scintillator bars
    - 14 kton total mass (65% active mass)
  - Data analysis
    - Event classification with Convolutional Neural Network



#### **New Results from NOvA**

- NOvA Updated results for Neutrino2018 (June 4, 2018)
  - ne 4, 2018) @ Neutrino2018

M. Sanchez

NOvA Far Detecto

- First antineutrino results with 6.9 x 10<sup>20</sup> POT (in addition to neutrino-mode 8.85 x 10<sup>20</sup> POT data)
  - 18 antineutrino events ⇔ 5.3 events BG
  - 58 neutrino events ⇔ 15 events BG
- "> 4σ evidence of v
  <sub>e</sub> appearance"





#### JER New Results from NOvA

- NOvA Updated results for Neutrino2018 (June 4, 2018) M. Sanchez @ Neutrino2018
  - First antineutrino results with 6.9 x 10<sup>20</sup> POT (in addition to neutrino-mode 8.85 x 10<sup>20</sup> POT data)
    - 18 antineutrino events ⇔ 5.3 events BG
    - 58 neutrino events ⇔ 15 events BG
  - Prefer non-maximal θ<sub>23</sub> with 1.8σ and exclude lower octant at similar level
  - Prefer NH by 1.8  $\sigma$  and exclude  $\pi/2$  in IH at >3  $\sigma$
- Competition is severe, therefore stable and powerful beam delivery to T2K is the key



