Magnetic Fields of Primordial Origin

Ryo Namba

McGill University

IPMU Seminar: April 28, 2017

In collaboration with

T. Fujita, Y. Tada, N. Takeda, H. Tashiro, M. Peloso, N. Barnaby



A

Outline

Introduction

- Observations of extragalactic magnetic fields
- Difficulties in cosmological generation
- One (possibly) successful scenario

Axion Inflation

- Production
- Post-inflationary evolution
- Present magnetic field amplitude

3 Summary and outlook

Outline

Introduction

- Observations of extragalactic magnetic fields
- Difficulties in cosmological generation
- One (possibly) successful scenario

Axion Inflation

- Production
- Post-inflationary evolution
- Present magnetic field amplitude

Summary and outlook

Observed large-scale magnetic fields

Large-scale magnetic field observed

- $\diamond~$ Galactic scale \sim kpc: $~10^{-6}-10^{-5}\,G$
- $\diamond~$ Extragalactic scales \sim Mpc: $~B_{eff}^{obs}\gtrsim 10^{-17}\,{\rm G}$

Observed large-scale magnetic fields

Large-scale magnetic field observed

- $\diamond~$ Galactic scale \sim kpc: $~10^{-6}-10^{-5}\,G$
- $\diamond~$ Extragalactic scales \sim Mpc: $~B_{eff}^{obs}\gtrsim 10^{-17}\,{\rm G}$
 - $\triangleright~$ Blazar TeV-GeV γ ray observation

Neronov & Vovk '10, Essey et al. '11, Takahashi et al. '13

No magnetic fields



No magnetic fields



Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

Primordial Magnetic Fields

IPMU 2017 5 / 33

Magnetic fields are present



Magnetic fields are present



Observe TeV γ rays, lack of GeV γ rays

Spectrum of blazar photons



Vovk et al. '12

-

Taylor, Vovk & Neronov '11



Taylor, Vovk & Neronov '11



Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

Taylor, Vovk & Neronov '11



Primordial Magnetic Fields

- 4 B K 4 B K

Taylor, Vovk & Neronov '11



Indications of non-zero helicity of intergalactic B

 field

Ryo Namba	(McGill	Univ.)
-----------	---------	--------

Cosmological origins ?

Free EM photon is conformally coupled to gravity in 4D

$$egin{array}{rcl} g_{\mu
u} &
ightarrow & \Omega^2 \, g_{\mu
u} \; , \ S^{ ext{free}}_{ ext{EM}} \propto \sqrt{-g} \, g^{\mu
ho} g^{
u\sigma} {\cal F}_{\mu
u} {\cal F}_{
ho\sigma} &
ightarrow & \Omega^{4-2-2} \sqrt{-g} \, g^{\mu
ho} g^{
u\sigma} {\cal F}_{\mu
u} {\cal F}_{
ho\sigma} \end{array}$$

Equation of motion in (flat) FLRW spacetime

$$\left(rac{\partial^2}{\partial au^2}+k^2
ight)ec{A}=0\;,\qquad ds^2=a^2(-d au^2+dec{x}^2)$$

EM field is insensitive to the background expansion

Cosmological origins ?

Free EM photon is conformally coupled to gravity in 4D

$$egin{array}{rcl} g_{\mu
u} &
ightarrow \ \Omega^2 \, g_{\mu
u} \; , \ S^{ ext{free}}_{ ext{EM}} \propto \sqrt{-g} \, g^{\mu
ho} g^{
u\sigma} F_{\mu
u} F_{
ho\sigma} \;
ightarrow \; \Omega^{4-2-2} \sqrt{-g} \, g^{\mu
ho} g^{
u\sigma} F_{\mu
u} F_{
ho\sigma}$$

Equation of motion in (flat) FLRW spacetime

$$\left(rac{\partial^2}{\partial au^2}+k^2
ight)ec{A}=0\ ,\qquad ds^2=a^2(-d au^2+dec{x}^2)$$

EM field is insensitive to the background expansion

No production of free EM by cosmic expansion

Several proposed mechanisms

Cosmological phase transition

Vachaspati '91, Enqvist & Olsen '93

Second-order perturbation theory

Matarrese et al. '04, Ichiki et al. '07, Maeda et al. '09, Fenu et al. '11, Saga et al. '15

Inflationary magnetic field production

Turner & Widrow '88, Ratra '91, Bamba & Yokoyama '04, Martin & Yokoyama '08, Kunze '10, ...

글 > - - 글 >



590





(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E) (E)

Difficulties in large-scale magnetogenesis

Strong coupling problem

Demozzi, Mukhanov & Rubinstein '09



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Difficulties in large-scale magnetogenesis

Strong coupling problem

Demozzi, Mukhanov & Rubinstein '09



- Strong backreaction problem
 - ♦ Large-scale \vec{B} ⇔ magnetic spectral index $n_B \leq 0$
 - ♦ Weak coupling + red-tilted $\vec{B} \Rightarrow \rho_E \gg \rho_B$
 - *ρ_E* back-reacts to inflationary dynamics and curvature perturbations !

 Barnaby et al. '12. Fuiita & Mukohyama '12. Fuiita & Yokoyama '13. Ferreira et al. '14

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Model independent limit

$$ho_{
m inf}^{1/4} < 300 \,{
m MeV} \left(rac{1 \,{
m Mpc}}{L_B}
ight)^{5/4} \left(rac{10^{-15} \,{
m G}}{B_{
m obs}}
ight) \ , \quad (L_B \le 1 \,{
m Mpc})$$
Fujita & Yokoyama '14

E IPMU 2017 13/33

590

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

Model independent limit

$$ho_{
m inf}^{1/4} < 300 \, {
m MeV} \left(rac{1 \, {
m Mpc}}{L_B}
ight)^{5/4} \left(rac{10^{-15} \, {
m G}}{B_{
m obs}}
ight) \ , \quad (L_B \le 1 \, {
m Mpc})$$
Fujita & Yokoyama '14

Premises made

- Production only during inflation
- Adiabatic evolution after inflation, $B_{\rm phy} \propto a^{-2}$
- $A_i \sim a^n$ at the last e-folding of inflation

Image: Image:

글 > - - 글 >

For sufficient production

Must overcome the obstacles

Substantial dilution after inflation

- Too large electromagnetic energy spoiling inflation
- Induced curvature perturbations

For sufficient production

Must overcome the obstacles

Substantial dilution after inflation

- Too large electromagnetic energy spoiling inflation
- Induced curvature perturbations

Post-inflationary evolution

One successful scenario

Fujita & RN '16



- \diamond Sudden onset of I(a) moving \implies suppresses constraints from CMB
- ◊ Post-inflationary coupling ⇒ suppresses the dilution after inflation

Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

590

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

EM power spectra



Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

IPMU 2017 16 / 33

DQC

Allowed amplitudes of present \vec{B}



IPMU 2017 17 / 33

Allowed amplitudes of present \vec{B}



Allowed amplitudes of present \vec{B}



Outline

Introduction

- Observations of extragalactic magnetic fields
- Difficulties in cosmological generation
- One (possibly) successful scenario

Axion Inflation

- Production
- Post-inflationary evolution
- Present magnetic field amplitude

Summary and outlook

Axion inflation

- \diamond **Slow roll** of inflaton φ is necessary for inflation
- ◊ Slow roll is UV sensitive
 - Radiative corrections



 $\triangleright \eta$ problem, e.g. in supergravity

$$|\eta| \ll$$
 1 is needed but $V_{
m SG} \sim V rac{arphi^2}{M_0^2}$ leads $\eta \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

♦ One solution – shift symmetry: invariance under $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + c$

- ▷ Symmetry exact \Leftrightarrow completely flat potential $V(\varphi) = \text{const.}$
- ▷ Mild breaking \Rightarrow flat $V(\varphi)$ is technically natural

Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

Helical \vec{B} production by axion-gauge interaction

Coupling to EM fields - gauge invariance, shift symmetry, parity

Axion-gauge coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{int}} = -rac{lpha}{4 f} arphi \, m{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu
u} ilde{m{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mu
u}$$

Modified dispersion of the EM field

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} A_{\pm} + \left(k^2 \mp \frac{\alpha}{f} k \dot{\varphi} \right) A_{\pm} = 0$$

 Only one helicity grows exponentially Anber & Sorbo '09



Helical \vec{B} production by axion-gauge interaction

Coupling to EM fields - gauge invariance, shift symmetry, parity

Axion-gauge coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{int}} = -rac{lpha}{4 f} arphi \, m{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu
u} ilde{m{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mu
u}$$

Modified dispersion of the EM field

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} A_{\pm} + \left(k^2 \mp \frac{\alpha}{f} k \dot{\varphi} \right) A_{\pm} = 0$$

 Only one helicity grows exponentially Anber & Sorbo '09



Large production of helical magnetic fields !

Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

Primordial Magnetic Fields

IPMU 2017 20 / 33

CMB bounds on the coupling

Produced photons inverse-decay to inflaton quanta



CMB bounds on the coupling

• Bounds from CMB observations

CMB bounds
$$rac{lpha}{f} \leq 35 - 48 M_p^{-1}$$

Planck collaboration '15

Potential signals at terrestrial GW interferometers

- No constraints from current (1st generation) detectors
- ▷ Future (2nd & 3rd gen.) have potential to detect helical GWs

Crowder et al. '12; c.f. Seto & Taruya '07

Evolution of magnetic fields

Gauge field e.o.m.:
$$\ddot{A}_{\pm} + H\dot{A}_{\pm} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} \mp \frac{\alpha}{f} \frac{k}{a} \dot{\phi}_0\right) A_{\pm} = 0$$

Background $\begin{cases} \ddot{\phi}_0 + 3H\dot{\phi}_0 + V_{\phi}(\phi_0) = \frac{\alpha}{f} \langle \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \rangle \\ 3M_{\rho}^2 H^2 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_0^2 + V(\phi_0) + \frac{\langle \vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2 \rangle}{2} \end{cases}$

Unique post-inflationary evolution

Coupling to inflaton φ until reheating $\mathcal{L}_{int} \sim \varphi F \tilde{F}$

- Slow roll breaks down φ
- Inflaton oscillation after inflation $\dot{\varphi} \sim \cos(m_{\phi} t)$
- Helical \vec{B} fields $B_+ \neq B_-$

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Growth near end of inflation

Tachyonic growth

- ▷ towards the end of inflation
- p growth only in one helicity state

Parametric resonance

- lasts a few e-folds after inflation
- growth in both helicity states



Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

Evolution of amplitude and correlation length

- Tachyonic growth and parametric resonance
- \diamond Once parametric resonance ceases, \vec{B} fields evolve adiabatically



$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathsf{phys}} \simeq ig(8 \cdot 10^{22} \, a^{-2} ig) \; \mathsf{G} \;, \quad \lambda_{\mathsf{phys}} \simeq ig(9 \cdot 10^{-52} \, a ig) \; \mathsf{Mpc} \;, \quad \Big(rac{lpha}{f} = 8 \, \mathit{M}_{
m p}^{-1}, \mathit{N} \gtrsim 2 \Big)$$

Evolution after reheating

Magneto-hydro dynamics (MHD)

Magnetic fields in plasma





Presence/absence of helicity \implies different evolution

Inverse cascade in turbulent plasma

Inverse cascade = helicity conservation

- Non-linear evolution of MHD dynamics
- Conserved Helicity of magnetized fluid with high conductivity
- Partial energy transfer to larger scales

$$\begin{split} \dot{\vec{v}} + \left(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\right) \vec{v} - \left(\vec{v}_A \cdot \vec{\nabla}\right) \vec{v}_A &\approx 0 \\ \dot{\vec{v}}_A + \left(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\right) \vec{v}_A - \left(\vec{v}_A \cdot \vec{\nabla}\right) \vec{v} &\approx 0 \\ \end{split}$$
 Alfvén velocity: $\vec{v}_A \equiv \frac{\vec{B}}{\sqrt{\rho + P}} \\ \end{split}$ Helicity: $\mathfrak{h} \equiv \frac{1}{V} \int d^3x \left\langle \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} \right\rangle$

Inverse cascade in turbulent plasma



Banerjee & Jedamzik '04

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Inverse cascade in turbulent plasma



Banerjee & Jedamzik '04



Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

IPMU 2017 28 / 33

Present \vec{B} amplitude from axion inflation



Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

IPMU 2017 29 / 33

Present \vec{B} amplitude from axion inflation



Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

IPMU 2017 29 / 33

Recent work by Adshead et al. '16

- ♦ Lattice simulation in nonlinear regime $\delta \phi > \phi_0 \iff \alpha/f \in [7, 12] M_p^{-1}$
- ♦ Results: for $\alpha/f \gtrsim 10 M_p^{-1}$,

$$B_{
m eff}(t_{
m now}) \approx 2.5 \cdot 10^{-14} \, {
m e}^{-3N_{
m reh}/2} \, {
m G}$$

IF $N_{
m reh} \approx 1 \implies B_{
m peak}(t_{
m now}) \approx 10^{-13} \, {
m G} \,, \quad \lambda_{
m phys} \approx 10 \, {
m pc}$

• Sufficient \vec{B} amplitude for blazar observations ? Reasonable N_{reh} ?

O Distinguishability: Helicity of the B field ? Tashiro et al. '13, '14; Chen et al. '14

Outline

Introduction

- Observations of extragalactic magnetic fields
- Difficulties in cosmological generation
- One (possibly) successful scenario

Axion Inflation

- Production
- Post-inflationary evolution
- Present magnetic field amplitude

Summary and outlook

Summary and outlook

- Blazars observations \Rightarrow $B_{\rm eff} \gtrsim 10^{-17}$ 10^{-15} G at ~ 1 Mpc !
- Challenging to find an inflation-only origin
- Post-inflationary evolution is necessary to save the situation
 - Enhancement/production after inflation
 - Magneto-hydro dynamical (MHD) evolution
- Theoretically motivated axion inflation
 - \diamond Generation mechanism of \vec{B} naturally implemented
 - Rich physics
 - Tachyonic enhancement at the end of inflation
 - Parametric resonance
 - ▷ Parity violation \Rightarrow helical \vec{B} \Rightarrow Inverse cascade
 - o Maybe sufficient production in nonlinear regime ?

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Summary and outlook

- Other possibilities
 - Non-trivial coupling in matter sector
 - Breaking of gauge invariance
 - Non-trivial coupling to gravity sector

- Domènech, Lin & Sasaki '15
 - Mukohyama '16



Prospects for future observational constraints

Ryo Namba (McGill Univ.)

Primordial Magnetic Fields

IPMU 2017 33 / 33