高エネルギー現象で探る宇宙の多様性IV @柏東京大学, 2024/11/12

期汐破壞現象

一最近の話題などー

松本達矢



京都大学宇宙物理学教室/白眉センター





[Tidal Disruption Event]

- Census of SMBH population
- Physics of Super Eddington accretion
- Probe of environment of SMBHs (ISM, star cluster)
- Relativistic effects?



Outline

1.Basics2.Optical&X-rays3.Some recent topics4.Radio

Basics of TDEs



 $R_{\rm T} \equiv R_{\star} \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M_{\star}}\right)^{1/3} \simeq 20 R_{\rm S} R_{\star,0} M_{\star,0}^{-1/3} M_{\bullet,6}^{-2/3}$

Basics of TDEs



$$R_{\rm T} \equiv R_{\star} \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M_{\star}}\right)^{1/3} \simeq 20 R_{\rm S} R_{\star,0} M_{\star,0}^{-1/3} M_{\bullet,6}^{-2/3}$$



Basics of TDEs



$$\begin{aligned} R_{\rm T} &\equiv R_{\star} \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M_{\star}}\right)^{1/3} \simeq 20 R_{\rm S} R_{\star,0} M_{\star,0}^{-1/3} M_{\bullet,6}^{-2/3} \\ &\Delta \varepsilon = \left(-\frac{GM_{\bullet}}{R_{\rm T}}\right) - \left(-\frac{GM_{\bullet}}{R_{\rm T} \pm R_{\star}}\right) & \downarrow \\ &\simeq \pm \frac{GM_{\bullet}}{R_{\rm T}^2} R_{\star} & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & I = \frac{2\pi GM_{\bullet}}{(2|\varepsilon|)^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \dot{M}_{\rm fb} &= \frac{dM}{d\varepsilon} \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \dot{M}_{\rm peak} \left(\frac{t}{t_{\rm fb}}\right)^{-5/3} \\ & t_{\rm fb} \simeq 40 \text{day} R_{\star,0}^{3/2} M_{\star,0}^{-1} M_{\bullet,6}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

 $\dot{M}_{\text{peak}} \simeq \frac{\dot{100M}_{\text{Edd}}}{R_{\star,0}^{-3/2}M_{\star,0}^2} M_{\star,0}^{-1/2}$



Observation: X-rays



Observation: Optical



Models of optical TDEs



Strubbe&Quataert09,Metzger&Stone16,Dai+18

1)Reprocessing model



✓ Assumption: Rapid disk formation ("Circularization")
 ✓ Disk is covered by opt. thick outflow or envelope.
 ✓ X-rays are absorbed and re-emitted in optical.



Ryu+23

2)Shock interaction model





- \checkmark Assumption: Inefficient circularization
- ✓ Inverse energy problem:
- $E_{acc} \sim 1e+53erg \& E_{circ} \sim 1e+52erg >> E_{opt} \sim 1e+51erg$
- \checkmark Shock forms at ~ apocenter of bound debris.

$$a_0 = \frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{\Delta E} = 6.5 \times 10^{14} \,{\rm cm} \, M_{\rm BH,6}^{2/3} M_{\star}^{2/9}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}} = \frac{GM_{\text{BH}}\dot{M}_{\text{max}}}{c_1 a_0}$$

= 4.3 × 10⁴³ c_1^{-1} M_{\star}^{4/9} M_{\text{BH,6}}^{-1/6} \Xi^{5/2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.

Loeb&Ulmer97,Metzger22

3)Cooling envelope model



✓ Assumption: Inefficient circularization
 ✓ Debris virializes and forms an opt. thick envelope.
 ✓ Envelope cools over KH timescale with L_Edd.

$$R_{\nu,0} \approx \frac{2R_{\star}}{5k} \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M_{\star}}\right)^{2/3} \frac{M_e}{M_{\star}}$$
$$\approx 6.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm } m_{\star}^{2/15} M_{\bullet,6}^{2/3} \left(\frac{M_{e,0}}{0.2M_{\star}}\right),$$

$$\frac{2GM \cdot M_e}{5R_v^2} \frac{dR_v}{dt} = L_{\rm Edd}(M \cdot).$$



Shrink/evaporation of reprocessing materials/envelope? Delayed accretion?



X-rays in opt. TDEs: Diverse behavior



✓ Soft spectrum, fitted by BB disk model (similar to ROSAT TDEs)
 ✓ 3/17 events shows soft-hard state transition
 ✓ T~ 3e+5-1e+6 K ~ 30-100eV, decreasing as time
 ✓ Some events have unphysical R(<<Rg): low-Lx, Blocked?
 ✓ Bright optical: Another emission component required

Guolo+24

X-rays in opt. TDEs: Diverse behavior



✓ Soft spectrum, fitted by BB disk model (similar to ROSAT TDEs)
 ✓ 3/17 events shows soft-hard state transition
 ✓ T~ 3e+5-1e+6 K ~ 30-100eV, decreasing as time
 ✓ Some events have unphysical R(<<Rg): low-Lx, Blocked?
 ✓ Bright optical: Another emission component required

X-rays in opt. TDEs: Diverse behavior

Guolo+24

☆

ᠵᠵᡘ



✓ Soft spectrum, fitted by BB disk model (similar to ROSAT TDEs)
 ✓ 3/17 events shows soft-hard state transition
 ✓ T~ 3e+5-1e+6 K ~ 30-100eV, decreasing as time
 ✓ Some events have unphysical R(<<Rg): low-Lx, Blocked?
 ✓ Bright optical: Another emission component required

Reprocessing model: favored?







Sazonov+21

More X-ray TDEs by eROSITA



✓ Maybe the same population observed by ROSAT
 ✓ 13TDEs up to z~0.5, cadence~0.5yr, consistent with t^{-5/3}
 ✓ Spectrum: Consistent with single pl (Г~3-7) or BB (T~100-300eV)
 ✓ 3/13 events show opt. counterpart

Unified Picture?

Guolo+23





Accretion

Figure 20. Distribution of peak $L_{\rm BB} \times \text{early time } L_{\rm X}$ for different between the field the redshifts of the afterplow²¹ and the host galaxy²² were this field. The set of the period of the set discovered sources, circles show optically discovered X-ray detected, new hile diamonds with one optically discovered with one of the new optical of the mere of two networks of two netwo detection. Filled markers represent detections in both UV/optical and a start of the start of th upper limits in one of the two wavelength bands, where the arrows represents the spectra of lived strength bands, where the arrows represents the the spectra of lived strength bands, where the arrows represents the the spectra of the two wavelength bands, where the arrows represents the the spectra of the two wavelength bands, where the arrows represents the two wavelength bands are the two created in the neutron-rich material Alth in Fig. 19. till far from being fully realistic, a robust conclusion is that the optical stil∉far from being full√ realistic, a rob flux will be greatly diminished by line blanketing in the rapidly expanflugwaff be greatly din ing ejecta, with the radiation emerging instead in the near-infrared

(NIR) and being produced over a longer timescale than would other wise be the case. This makes previous limits on early optical kilonova emission unsurprising²³. Specifically, the NIR light curves are expected ad peak, rising after a few days and lasting a week or more in the rest frame. The relatively modest redshift and intensive study of GRB 130603B made it a prime candidate for searching for such a kilonova. GRB 130603B made it a prime candidate for searching for such a kilonova. We imaged of the location of the burst with the NASA/ESA Hubble pace Telescope (HST) at two epochs, the first ~9 d after the burst

ejecta, with the radiation a (NIR)20nd being produced over a long wise be the case. This makes previous limits on early optical kilondy surprising²³. Specifically, the NIR light curves are expected to have a broad peak, rising after a few days and lasting a week or more Weighaged of the location of the burst with the NASA/ESA Hubble

Space Telescope (HST) at two epochs, the first ~9d after

Insights from simulations



✓ Compact accretion disk does not form promptly (circularization is slow)
 ✓ Two shock structures appear: (weak) nozzle shock, "apocenter" shock
 ✓ ~ a few t_fb, a large-scale envelope appears

More Optical TDEs: Population study





✓ Measuring σ in host => BH mass from M_{BH}- σ relation ✓ Possible correlations

French+16

Host: E+A (post-starburst) galaxy



✓ Optical TDEs prefer E+A galaxy (Starburst in past ~Gyr)
 ✓ E+A: ~0.1% in SDSS sample=>x30-200 over-represented

Host: Green valley galaxy



Event rate: Theory



$$T_{\rm rel} \sim \frac{P_{\rm orb}}{N_{\star}} \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M_{\star}}\right)^2 \sim 10^{10} {\rm yr} M_{\bullet,6}^2 \sigma_{\rm h,7}^{-3}$$
$$\sigma_{\rm h}^2 \sim \frac{GM_{\bullet}}{r_{\rm inf}}$$



Note: E>0 is bound orbit

Linial&Sari23 But see e.g., Magorrian&Tremaine99, Wang&Merritt04,Stone&Metzger16...

Event rate: Observation



✓ Consistent between optical & X-ray TDEs
✓ Rate ~ 1e-7/Mpc3/yr ~ 0.001x(SN rate)~1e-5/galaxy/yr

vanVelzen+19,Mummery+24

Late-time plateau: New indicator?



TDE light curve settles into plateau phase at late time
Plateau is ubiquitous

 \checkmark Disk model predicts relation: $L_{pl} \propto M_{BH}^{2/3}$

Late-time plateau: New indicator?



✓ TDE light curve settles into plateau phase at late time
 ✓ Plateau is ubiquitous

✓ Disk model predicts relation: $L_{pl} \propto M_{BH}^{2/3}$

Mummery+24



- ✓ TDE light curve settles into plateau phase at late time
 ✓ Plateau is ubiquitous
- ✓ Disk model predicts relation: $L_{pl} \propto M_{BH}^{2/3}$

Infrared (IR) echo



✓ Opt.&UV absorbed and re-emitted in IR by dust
 ✓ L_{IR}/L_{opt}~0.01: Covering fraction of dust shell

Masterson+24

IR Flare: Dust-obscured TDEs



✓ 18 Flares within 200Mpc from WISE survey
 ✓ L~10⁴²⁻⁴³erg/s => Erad~10⁵⁰⁻⁵²erg
 ✓ R~0.1pc, Covering fraction~1
 ✓ Rate:~1e-7/Mpc3/yr~Opt.&X-ray => Opt.+X+IR ~ 1.e-6/Mpc3/yr

Masterson+24

IR Flare: Host galaxy



✓ Not in green valley & Not E+A galaxy
 ✓ Most hosts are spiral (star-forming) galaxy

Diversity in optical light curve



Somalwar+23,Lin+24,...

Multiple optical flares in galactic center



Chen+24

Multiple flares = Partial TDEs?





 $\beta \equiv \frac{R_{\rm T}}{R_{\rm p}}$

Chen+24,Broggi+24

Multiple flares = Partial TDEs?



Multi-peak optical flare: ASASSN14ko



✓ More than 20 peaks with P-115days
 ✓ L~1e+44erg/s, t~10days => E~1e+50erg per flare
 ✓ Persistent emission L_X~1e+43erg/s => AGN disk?
 ✓ Disk instability? Star-disk interaction?

Multiple X-ray flare :Quasi-Periodic Eruption (QPEs)



QPE = TDE + EMRI?



Nicholl+24

Discovery of QPE after optical TDE

AT2019qiz: Nearby optical TDE (65Mpc)



Nicholl+24

Discovery of QPE after optical TDE



Outline

1.Basics2.Optical&X-rays3.Some recent topics4.Radio

Radio emission in TDEs



Synchrotron emission => Probe of Outflow + Environment

Radio emission in TDEs



Synchrotron emission => Probe of Outflow + Environment

Radio in TDEs: Equipartition analysis



=> Total Energy: $E_{\text{tot}} \sim B^2 R^3 + N m_e c^2 \gamma \sim R^{11} + R^{-6}$



R should be $\sim R_{eq}$ => Estimation of other quantities

Radio in TDEs: Equipartition analysis



Radio emission in TDEs



Synchrotron emission => Probe of Outflow + Environment

Radio in TDEs: Equipartition analysis



=> Total Energy: $E_{\text{tot}} \sim B^2 R^3 + N m_e c^2 \gamma \sim R^{11} + R^{-6}$



R should be $\sim R_{eq}$ => Estimation of other quantities

Jetted TDEs: Radio analysis



Equipartition analysis

 Γ ~2-3 (Relativistic source!) $E_{j,iso}$ ~1e+53 erg



Late-time radio flares

Cendes+22, Horesh+21, Sfaradi+24



- Radio flare ~ 1000days after optical discovery
- Flux increases as t^5
- Origin?

Delayed disk formation?

Off-axis jet?



=> Total Energy: $E_{\text{tot}} \sim B^2 R^3 + N m_e c^2 \gamma \sim R^{11} + R^{-6}$



Two minimizing radii corresponding to on/**off-axis**

Late-time radio flare as off-axis jet



Matsumoto&Takahashi in prep.

VLBI diagnostics



Ubiquitous late-time radio flare



Event rate of jetted TDEs

 $\mathcal{R}_{opt} \sim \mathcal{R}_x \sim 1000 \ /Gpc^3 / yr (\sim 10^{-4} \ /galaxy / yr)$

 $\mathcal{R}_{on-jet} \sim 0.01$ -0.1 /Gpc³/yr

Sazonov+21,Yao+23 Andreoni+22

Beaming: $f_b \sim \theta^2 \sim 0.01$

$$\mathcal{R}_{off-jet} \sim 1-10 / Gpc^3 / yr$$

At most a few % of TDEs can have off-axis jet



Jet breakout = **Double** alignment?

- 1. Observer's line of sight = jet axis : $f_b \sim \theta_j^2$
- 2. Stellar ang. mom. = BH spin : $f_{LS} \sim \theta_{LS}^2 \sim \theta_j^2$

On-axis Successful Jet: $\mathcal{R}_{\text{on-jet}}/\mathcal{R}_{\text{TDE}} \sim \theta_j^4 \sim 10^{-4} \, (\theta_j/0.1)^4$

Lu, Matsumoto, Matzner23, Teboul&Metzger23

Ubiquitous late-time radio flare



Double-peak radio flares



Days from Detection

Late-time rise with **opt. thin** spectrum => Different mechanism from the 1st peak to make radio rise

(Most late flares show opt. thin spectrum)

Outflow reaches Bondi radius





Double peaks naturally arise!



Slope of density profile





Profile for Bondi accretion can explain slow rise (AT2019azh?)

Parameter dependence



Comparison with observed events



Minimum in light curve=>BH mass





Summary

- Tidal Disruption Events: Flares in galactic centers
- Optical & X-ray observations:
 - -Origin of optical emission is still not well understood.
 - -Reprocessing, shock, cooling envelope?
 - -But global simulations give insights.
 - -Population studies by observations will be promising
- Rate: 0.001-0.01 of supernovae
- Post star burst galaxy preference?
- Late time plateau, IR flares, Multi-peak events
- Radio: Probe of environment and outflow