
Millisecond Pulsars Modify the Radio-SFR Correlation



須藤 貴弘 (Takahiro Sudoh)




In collaboration with :

John Beacom (Ohio State), Tim Linden (Stockholm)

Radio excess in quiescent galaxies

Radio Excess in Low-SFR Galaxies



- Radio luminosities and SFRs are tightly correlated
- Interpretation : SNRs accelerate electrons, which produce radio emission
- Gürkan et al. studied this correlation in low frequency (150 MHz) and low SFR
- After removing AGN, they find an excess radio emission in low-SFR galaxies
- Excess emission is most prominent for massive galaxies

AGN emission may not be removed perfectly

• SFR estimate is incorrect

 There are additional sources of CR electrons that can be important in massive and low-SFR galaxies AGN emission may not be removed perfectly

SFR estimate is incorrect

Note : We need to keep this possibility in mind


 There are additional sources of CR electrons that can be important in massive and low-SFR galaxies AGN emission may not be removed perfectly

SFR estimate is incorrect

 There are additional sources of CR electrons that can be important in massive and low-SFR galaxies

> The reminder of this talk focuses on this scenario (Later mention on AGN)

Pulsars




0

https://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2013/vela/

Pulsars accelerate cosmic-ray electrons, which can produce synchrotron radio emission

Millisecond Pulsars



- A normal pulsar is born at a corecollapse supernova, and will be inactive in ~ 10 Myr
- The dead pulsar accretes material from the companion star and spin up, becoming again a fast-spinning pulsar (= Millisecond pulsar, MSP)
- Time lag between star formation and MSP production
- MSPs may have a very long spindown time (~10 Gyr), i.e., long-lived
- $N_{\rm MSP} \propto M_*$ is naturally expected (instead of $\propto {\rm SFR}$)

MSPs as electron sources

- Normal pulsars release most of their spindown power as relativistic pulsar wind.
- Less is known about the pulsar wind from MSPs.
- Observations suggest an efficient production of cosmic-ray electron by MSPs
 - A few X-ray PWNe around MSPs (e.g, Lee et al. 2018)
 - Hint of TeV gamma-ray emission surrounding MSPs in the HAWC data (Hooper & Linden 2018), although also note that MAGIC reports non-detection of the globular cluster.
- We use GeV gamma-ray observations of MSPs to infer the total power in the galaxy.

MSP, *e*

SNR,
$$e \sim 5 \times 10^{38} \left(\frac{\text{SFR}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}}\right) \text{ erg/s}$$

~ $2 \times 10^{37} \left(\frac{L_{\gamma,\text{MSP}}^{\text{MW}}}{10^{38} \text{ erg/s}}\right) \left(\frac{\eta_{\gamma}}{0.1}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta_{e}}{0.1}\right) \left(\frac{M_{*}}{10^{10}M_{\odot}}\right) \text{ erg/s}$

SNR,
$$e \sim 5 \times 10^{38} \left(\frac{\text{SFR}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right) \text{ erg/s}$$


MSP,
$$e \sim 2 \times 10^{37} \left(\frac{L_{\gamma,\text{MSP}}^{\text{WW}}}{10^{38} \text{ erg/s}} \right) \left(\frac{\eta_{\gamma}}{0.1} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta_{e}}{0.1} \right) \left(\frac{M_{*}}{10^{10} M_{\odot}} \right) \text{ erg/s}$$

Total GeV gamma-ray luminosity of all MSPs in the Milky Way (uncertain by a factor of ~10, presumably from magnetosphere)

SNR,
$$e \sim 5 \times 10^{38} \left(\frac{\text{SFR}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right) \text{ erg/s}$$

MSP,
$$e \sim 2 \times 10^{37} \left(\frac{L_{\gamma,\text{MSP}}^{\text{MW}}}{10^{38} \text{ erg/s}} \right) \left(\frac{\eta_{\gamma}}{0.1} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta_{e}}{0.1} \right) \left(\frac{M_{*}}{10^{10} M_{\odot}} \right) \text{ erg/s}$$

Total GeV gamma-ray luminosity of all MSPs in the Milky Way (uncertain by a factor of ~10, presumably from magnetosphere)




SNR,
$$e \sim 5 \times 10^{38} \left(\frac{\text{SFR}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}}\right) \text{ erg/s}$$


 $\sim 2 \times 10^{37} \left(\frac{L_{\gamma,\text{MSP}}^{\text{MW}}}{10^{38} \text{ erg/s}}\right) \left(\frac{\eta_{\gamma}}{0.1}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta_{e}}{0.1}\right) \left(\frac{M_{*}}{10^{10}M_{\odot}}\right) \text{ erg/s}$

Total GeV gamma-ray luminosity of all MSPs in the Milky Way (uncertain by a factor of ~10, presumably from magnetosphere)

MSP, $e \sim$

Fraction of the MSP power that go to gamma rays (relatively well known)





Total Power of all MSPs in the Milky Way

To

pr

$$SNR, e \sim 5 \times 10^{38} \left(\frac{SFR}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right) \text{ erg/s}$$


$$ASP, e \sim 2 \times 10^{37} \left(\frac{L_{\gamma,MSP}^{MW}}{10^{38} \text{ erg/s}} \right) \left(\frac{\eta_{\gamma}}{0.1} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta_{e}}{0.1} \right) \left(\frac{M_{*}}{10^{10}M_{\odot}} \right) \text{ erg/s}$$

$$tal \text{ GeV gamma-ray luminosity of}_{all MSPs in the Milky Way}_{(uncertain by a factor of ~10, esumably from magnetosphere)} \text{ Fraction of the MSP}_{power that go to}_{gamma rays} \text{ (relatively well known)} \text{ Fraction of the MSP}_{power that go to}_{electrons}$$


$$delectrons$$

$$delectrons$$


$$dunknown($$



Total Power of Electrons from all MSPs in the Milky Way




 $6 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$



 Energy can be comparable for low-SFR and high-mass sources

Schematic Illustration




specific SFR (sSFR) = SFR / Mass

Radio-SFR Correlation

— Normalized by mass

Schematic Illustration



Data Analysis

- Model of galactic radio luminosity :
 - $L_{\text{radio}}^{\text{model}} = f_{\text{syn}} \times [a_{\text{SNR},1}\psi + a_{\text{SNR},2}\psi^{1.18} + a_{\text{MSP}}M_*]$
 - $f_{\rm syn} = (M_*)^{\beta}$: Efficiency of producing synchrotron

• Model of galactic radio luminosity :

•
$$L_{\text{radio}}^{\text{model}} = f_{\text{syn}} \times \begin{bmatrix} a_{\text{SNR},1}\psi + a_{\text{SNR},2}\psi^{1.18} + a_{\text{MSP}}M_* \end{bmatrix}$$

SNR (Primary and Secondary)
• $f_{\text{syn}} = (M_*)^{\beta}$: Efficiency of producing synchrotron

• Model of galactic radio luminosity :

•
$$L_{\text{radio}}^{\text{model}} = f_{\text{syn}} \times [a_{\text{SNR},1}\psi + a_{\text{SNR},2}\psi^{1.18} + a_{\text{MSP}}M_*]$$


• $f_{\rm syn} = (M_*)^{\beta}$: Efficiency of producing synchrotron

- Model of galactic radio luminosity :
 - $L_{\text{radio}}^{\text{model}} = f_{\text{syn}} \times [a_{\text{SNR},1}\psi + a_{\text{SNR},2}\psi^{1.18} + a_{\text{MSP}}M_*]$
 - $f_{\rm syn} = (M_*)^{\beta}$: Efficiency of producing synchrotron
- Minimize likelihood to find the best-fit parameters :

$$\mathscr{L}(a,\beta,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\log_{10} L_{\text{radio}}^{\text{observed}} - \log_{10} L_{\text{radio}}^{\text{model}}|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$\mathscr{L}(a,\beta,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|L_{\text{radio}}^{\text{observed}} - L_{\text{radio}}^{\text{model}}|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Different approaches do not change main conclusions


Comparison to LOFAR data



• Bins are shown for illustration purpose.

• SNR-only model clearly fails to explain the data.

Comparison to LOFAR data




- Adding one parameter significantly improve the fit.
- The best-fit efficiency is : $\eta_e \simeq 1$
- Note that it degenerates with a number of uncertainties and assumptions.
- MSPs may inject significant fraction of the power as cosmic-ray e+-.

Implications


Implications : M31


- The center of Andromeda galaxy show bright radio and gamma-ray emission despite its low SFR
- Our best-fit model naturally explains the required cosmic-ray electron power
- Nearby galaxies will be good way to differentiate AGN contribution



Implications : M31


- The center of Andromeda galaxy show bright radio and gamma-ray emission despite its low SFR
- Our best-fit model naturally explains the required cosmic-ray electron power
- Nearby galaxies will be good way to differentiate AGN contribution






Implications : CR electron/positron

- If MSPs produce CR electron, they can also make contribution to local e+- flux.
- Already studied in detail by Kisaka & Kawanaka



Implications : Radio-IR correlation

- Do we also expect flattening in the radio-IR correlation?
- Probably No.
- Massive galaxies contain sources of radio and IR emission that are not related to recent star formation
 - Radio : Millisecond Pulsars
 - IR : Dust heated by old stars
- The physics of radio-IR may be more complicated than it looks?



Summary

Summary

- Recent LOFAR observations find quiescent but radio-bright galaxies
- We argue that millisecond pulsars induces mass-dependence in the radio-SFR correlation, explaining the radio excess for massive low-SFR sources
- Observations of galaxies in radio may be important to study CR production in MSPs, which is relevant for observations of e+- and gamma rays.

