

The Performance of CRTNT Fluorescence Light Detector for Sub-EeV Cosmic Ray Observation

Y.Bai, B.Zhang and Z.Cao

Institute of High Energy Physics 19B Yuquan Lu, Shijingshan, Beijing, 100049, P. R. China

Presenter: Z.Cao(caozh@ihep.ac.cn), chn-cao-Z-abs1-he15-oral

Cosmic Ray Tau Neutrino Telescopes (CRTNT) using for sub-EeV cosmic ray measurement is discussed. Performances of a stereoscope configuration of a tower of those telescopes plus two side-triggers are studied by using a detailed detector simulation driven by Corsika. Detector aperture as a function of shower energy above 10^{17} eV is calculated. Event rate of about 20k per year for the second knee measurement is estimated. Event rate for cross calibration with detectors working on higher energy range is also estimated. Different configurations of the detectors are tried for optimization.

1. Introduction

Cosmic rays observed in the energy range of 10^{16} eV to 10^{20} eV behave that their sources may switch from inside our galaxy to the larger space range[1]. The detailed modeling of the acceleration and transportation through the space between the sources and the earth strongly depends on an accurate observation of the spectrum and the composition of the cosmic rays. The existing measurements have rather large discrepancies between each others[2] that is mainly due to a lack of a common calibration for all experiments and limited dynamic range of a single experiment. A way to overcome the difficulty is to put several independent experiments together and dedicate each of them to cover a suitable energy range and maintains a handful overlap between the experiments. Using the cosmic ray events falling in the overlaps, one can cross-calibrate the detectors and achieve a complete and self-consistent measurement of the cosmic ray energy spectrum and their composition above 10^{16} eV. The CRTNT experiment is designed to cover the energy range from 10^{17} eV to 5×10^{18} eV and to co-site with the TALE (10^{18} eV \sim 5×10^{19} eV)[3] and the TA (above 10^{19} eV)[4] and ultimately achieve the goal.

2. Detector

The proposed CRTNT project uses fluorescence light telescopes analogous to the detectors of the HiRes experiment [5]. The telescopes are distributed in three groups located at three vertices of an isosceles triangle which has an eight km base line and three km height. At the central site, twelve telescopes are used to form a "tower of power" detector that observes an area covered by 64° in azimuth and 42° in elevation starting from 3° . Those at the bottom vertices have both two telescopes watching into each other and over an area about 32° in azimuth and 14° in elevation starting from 44° . The total field of view covers about 58° in elevation. A 5.0m^2 light collecting mirror with a reflectivity of 82% is used for each telescope. A focal plane camera is made of 16×16 pixels. Each pixel is a 40mm hexagonal photomultiplier tube that has about a $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ field of view. Each tube is read out by a 50 MHz flash ADC electronics system to measure the waveform of the shower signals. A pulse area finding algorithm is developed for providing an individual channel trigger using a field programmable gate array (FPGA). The first level trigger is set by requiring the signal-noise ratio to be greater than 3.5σ , where the σ is the standard deviation of the total photo-electron noise during a running window of 320ns. The second level trigger requires at least five channels triggered within a 5×5 running box over a single telescope camera of 16×16 pixels. The trigger condition or an event is that at

least one telescope is triggered. All triggers are formed by FPGA chips. Event data from all channels are scanned from the FPGA buffers into a local Linux box.

A Monte Carlo simulation program for the CRTNT detector is developed as described in the next section. A triggered event shown in the Figure 1 is an example that has an angular track length about 50° and covers both rising and decaying stages of the shower development.

3. Monte Carlo Simulation

In the simulation, the incident cosmic rays are coming from all directions above the ground. The flux of cosmic rays is assumed to be isotropic and uniform in the field of view of the detector. The impact parameter, R_p , to the central position between the two side-trigger detectors is limited to be less than 10 km. A pure proton primary composition is assumed in the simulation. The lowest energy is set to be 2×10^{16} eV and a $1/E$ spectrum is assumed for the detector aperture estimation and a $1/E^3$ spectrum is assumed for the resolution study.

3.1. Air shower simulation

Corsika 6.0 [6] is used to generate air showers in the atmosphere. A four-seasonal atmospheric model is used to describe the air density as a function of the height. A big set of the simulated showers above 10^{16} eV are parameterized by using three parameters, shower maximum location X_{\max} , the maximum number of shower charged particles N_{\max} and a dimensionless width of the shower longitudinal development function σ_s , including their energy dependence and the correlations between them. Each shower longitudinal development then is described by a function[7]

$$N_{ch}(x) = N_{\max} \exp\left\{-\frac{2(x - x_{\max})^2}{\sigma_s^2 (2x_{\max} + x)^2}\right\},$$

where $N_{ch}(x)$ is the number of charged shower particles at the slant atmospheric depth x .

3.2. Photon production and light propagation

Charged shower particles excite the nitrogen molecules as they pass through the atmosphere. The deexcitation of the molecules generates ultra-violet fluorescence light. The number of fluorescence photons is proportional to the shower size, and these photons are emitted isotropically. The shower simulation carried out in this paper assumes a fluorescence light spectrum according to a recent summary of world-wide measurements [8], including the dependence of the yield on the atmospheric pressure and temperature. Since energies of charged shower particles are higher than the critical energy, the shower particles generate Cerenkov photons at every stage of the shower development. The accumulated Cerenkov light is concentrated in a small forward cone, therefore the intensity of the light is much stronger than the fluorescence light along the shower direction. A significant part of the Cerenkov light can be scattered out via Rayleigh and Mie scattering during the whole shower development history. The fraction of this light scattered in the direction of the detector can also make a noticeable contribution to detector triggering. Cerenkov light generation and scattering is fully simulated. A detailed description of the calculation can be found in [1] and references therein.

Shower charged particles and therefore fluorescence light photons, spread out laterally following the NKG distribution function. The Moliere radius parameter of the NKG function is

about 95 m at about 1500 m a.s.l. Photons originating from Cerenkov radiation have an exponential lateral distribution from the axis of the shower. Therefore, photons coming from a shower are spread over a range of directions around the shower location in the sky due to its longitudinal motion and lateral extension. A ray tracing procedure is carried out to follow each photon to the PMT's from the photon source location. All detector responses are considered in the ray tracing procedure, including mirror reflectivity, UV filter transmission, quantum efficiency of photo-cathode, location-sensitive response function of the photo-cathode and optical effects associated with the off-axis and defocusing effects. Sky noise photons, $40\text{ph}/\mu\text{sec}/\text{m}^2$, are randomly added in this ray tracing procedure both in time and arrival directions. The uncertainty associated with the varying weather conditions is negligible for the Rayleigh scattering. Scattering due to aerosols is more dependent on weather conditions. However, for a detector that has an aperture within 6 km, the aerosol scattering contribution to light extinction is close to be its minimum. The uncertainty in the triggering efficiency due to weather conditions is thus small. In the simulation, an average model [9] of aerosol scattering for western US deserts is employed.

4. Detector Aperture and Event Rate

The detector aperture is estimated using more than 10^4 simulated events. The triggering condition is the signal to noise ratio is greater than 3.5 for the tower detector and 2.5 for the side-trigger detectors. The trigger aperture is shown in the Figure 2 as the blue line. It is noticed in Figure 1 that the tower detector has two vertical edges that could cause very biased measurement




Figure 1. An example event triggered by all three CRTNT detectors




Figure 2. CRTNT detector aperture

of the triggered showers. We made a cut on those events which fall on the detector edges. We also made cuts on the Cerenkov light dominant events by removing tubes that have viewing angles less than 20° and track lengths are still required to be greater than 6° . The other cut is that the amplitude weighted average vector of the fired tubes in the side-trigger detectors must be in the neighborhood ($<0.5^\circ$) of a true shower-detector-plane. This cut guarantees the shower geometric reconstruction resolution to be better than 0.7° . After all the cuts for the quality of shower measurement, the aperture is shown in Figure 2 as the green line. For energy above 10^{18}eV , the aperture is greater than $100\text{ km}^2\text{sr}$. This allows that there are about 700 common events per year to be observed by both CRTNT and TALE for cross calibration between the two detectors. Based on this, we have 20k events per year measured above 10^{17}eV .

In order to measure the structure of the cosmic ray energy spectrum around $10^{17.5}\text{eV}$, an aperture independent to the energy is ideal. A geometric constraint, i.e. $R_p < 6\text{km}$, makes a significant suppression in the high energy range and remains the low energy aperture unchanged, as the red

lines shown in Figure 2, where the straight line is a fit to the histogram for eye guiding only. With such an aperture, about 20k reconstructed events per year are expected above 10^{17} eV.

5. Comparison between different configurations

Comparing with other experiment, the CRTNT detector has an advantage in respect of mobility. In this section, two configurations of the CRTNT detector are compared for optimizing the aperture of the detector. In the simulation described above, the detector is set symmetrically at three sites as shown in Figure 3. As described in the beginning of the paper, the distances from the detector sites to the bisection of the connection between FD2 and FD3 are 3 km, 4 km and 4 km, respectively. This configuration is denoted as 344 in Figure 4. The other configuration investigated in this section is to put all three detectors by 1 km apart, i.e. the distances are 4 km, 5 km and 5 km, and denoted as 455. The simulation is repeated for the configuration 455. The results suggest that the aperture for configuration 455 is higher than configuration 344 at higher energy while the aperture remains no change at low energies around 10^{17} eV. This feature provides more events above 10^{18} eV (about 15%) for cross-calibration with other detectors, such as TALE.




Figure3. Configuration 344:
FD1(0,0,0) FD2(-4,3,0) FD3(4,3,0)
Configuration 455:
FD1(0,0,0) FD2(-5,4,0) FD3(5,4,0)




Figure 4. Apertures of two configurations after quality cuts.

6. Acknowledgment

This work is supported by Knowledge Innovation fund (U-526) of IHEP, China and by Hundred Talents & Outstanding Young Scientists Abroad Program of CAS, China(U-610).

Reference:

- [1] T. Abu-Zayyad et al., *Astropart. Phys.* 16:1-11, 2001
- [2] Z.Cao, "Performance of CRTNT for Sub-EeV Cosmoc Ray Measurement" Aspen, 2005
- [3] TALE proposal, 2005
- [4] TA proposal, 2004
- [5] Abu-Zayyad T *et al* 2001 *Astrophys. J.* 557 686
- [6] Heck D *et al* 1998 Preprint of Institut fur Kernphys., University of Karlsruhe *FZKA-6019* (*Kernforschungszentrum, Karlsruhe, February 1998*)
- [7] Abu-Zayyad T *et al* 2001 *Astropart. Phys.* 16 :1-11
- [8] Nagano M *et al* 2004 New measurement on photon yields from air and the application to the energy estimation of primary cosmic rays (*Preprint astro-ph/0406474*)
- [9] Abbasi R.U. *et al* 2004 Techniques for measuring atmospheric aerosols for air fluorescence experiments *Astropart. Phys.* Submitted