The all-sky distribution of 511 keV electron-positron annihilation emission

> J. Knödlseder et al. astro-ph/0506026 (to appear in A&A)

Masaki Mori, ICRR Group Internal Seminar, June 20, 2005

511 keV emission: basics



Energy (keV)

OSSE observation 15 Salactic Latitude (degrees) SVD 10 Bulge + disk + PLE 5 (positive latitude 0 enhancement) -5 -10 -15 30 20 -20 -30 PLE 10 -10 Galactic Longitude (degrees) ("annhilation FIG. 5 .-- Map of the Galactic 511 keV positron annihilation line using the SVD method. Contours are exponentially spaced. fountain") 15 MEM **Galactic Latitude (degrees)** 10 5 0 -5 -10 Purcell et al., ApJ -15 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 491 (1997) 725 Galactic Longitude (degrees)

3

FIG. 6.-Map of the Galactic 511 keV positron annihilation line using the MEM. Contours are exponentially spaced.

"Annihilation fountain"



Fig. 2.— Contour plot of the model annihilation emissivity of the Milky Way including the disk, galactic bulge, and fountain component. The contours are in units of $10^{-(2+n)/5}$ 0.511 MeV ph cm⁻¹ s⁻¹ sr⁻¹, with the central contour corresponding to n = 1.

"A starburst episode within the inner few hundred pc of our galaxy would drive hot pair-laden gas into the halo, with the one-sidedness pointing to the site of initial pressure release at the onset of the starburst activity."

Dermer & Skibo, ApJ 487, 57 (1997)

INTEGRAL/SPIE



FIGURE 3 : Cutaway view of SPI and its subsystems

Plastic scintillator Mask support structure Anticoincidence shield ermanium detector arr; Passive Radiator Active Radiator Front-end electronics



Fig. 3. View of some subsystems of the spectrometer: a) Ge array, b) ACS, c) mask, d) plastic scintillator.

20 keV - 8 MeV, 500 cm²,

FOV 16deg, angular resolution 2.5deg,

Energy resolution 2.5keV@1.3MeV

SPI exposure



Fig. 1. Map of the effective SPI exposure at 511 keV for the dataset analysed in this work. The contours are labelled in units of 10^7 cm² s, corresponding to 13 ks (0.1), 133 ks (1), 667 ks (5), and 1.3 Ms (10) of effective exposure times.

Data: Dec 2002 - Oct 2003

Spectrum (not a topic today)



Figure 1. Spectrum of the e^+e^- annihilation radiation (fixed background model) detected by SPI from the GC region and the best-fitting model (thick solid line, see Table 1 for parameters). The dotted line shows the orthopositronium radiation and the dashed line shows the underlying power-law continuum.

Table 1. Best-fitting parameters for the GC spectra calculated for a 6° (FWHM) Gaussian spatial model (the one-Gaussian plus ortho-positronium plus power-law models). Quoted errors are 1σ for a single parameter of interest.

| | Model I Fixed background 485–530 keV | Model II Free background 450–550 keV |
|--|--|--|
| E_1 (keV) | 510.988 [510.95-511.02] | 510.954 [510.88-511.03] |
| FWHM1 (keV) | 2.47 [2.36-2.58] | 2.37 [2.12-2.62] |
| $F_{2\nu}$ (10 ⁻⁴ photon s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²) | 8.7 ± 0.20 | 7.16 ± 0.35 |
| $F_{3\nu}$ (10 ⁻⁴ photon s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²) | 45.6 ± 4.3 | 26.1 ± 5.7 |
| $F_{3\gamma}/F_{2\gamma}$ | 5.2 ± 0.51 | 3.65 ± 0.82 |
| FPS | 1.035 ± 0.023 | 0.94 ± 0.06 |
| Power-law photon index a | 2.0 (fixed) | 2.0 (fixed) |
| χ ² (d.o.f.) | 151.2 (83) | 192.7 (193) |

Churazov et al., MNRAS 357, 1377 (2005)

Coded mask imaging



Image deconvolution

Richardson-Lucy algorithm

$$f_{j}^{k+1} = f_{j}^{k} + \lambda^{k} w_{j} f_{j}^{k} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{n_{i}}{e_{i}^{k}} - 1 \right) R_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{ij}} \right)$$

 f_j^k : SKY INTENSITY R_{ij} : instrumental response matrix $e_j^k = \Sigma_j R_{ij} f_j^k + b_i$: predicted number of events

- n_i^k : observed counts
- $\vec{b_i}$: instrumental background
- λ_k : acceleration factor (Kaufman 1987)

$$\dot{W_j} = (\Sigma_j R_{ij})^{1/2}$$
 : weight

511 keV map



Fig. 4. Richardson-Lucy image of 511 keV gamma-ray line emission (iteration 17). Contour levels indicate intensity levels of 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , and 10^{-4} ph cm⁻²s⁻¹sr⁻¹ (from the centre outwards).

511 keV map (2)



Fig. 6. Richardson-Lucy image after iteration 25. Contour levels are similar to Fig. 4

511 keV profile



Fig. 5. Longitude and latitude profiles of the image shown in Fig. 4 (integration range $|l| \leq 30^{\circ}$, $|b| \leq 30^{\circ}$).

 I_0 -=-0.6deg, ΔI -=8.1deg (FWHM) b_0 -=+0.1deg, ΔI -=7.2deg (FWHM) Flux: (1.09±0.04)10⁻³ ph/cm²s [|I|<30deg, |b|<30deg integration]

Model fitting



13

Model fitting results

Table 3. Summary of model fitting results. Fluxes are given as total 4π integrated all-sky values. Annihilation rates have been calculated assuming $f_{\rm p} = 0.93$.

| 1 | | | C |
|----------|---------|------|----------|
| (| nositro | nium | traction |
| \ | | | |

| Quantity | Bulge | Halo | Disk |
|---|---|---|--|
| Flux $(10^{-3} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$ L ₅₁₁ $(10^{43} \text{ ph s}^{-1})$ L _p (10^{43} s^{-1}) | 1.05 ± 0.06 0.90 ± 0.06 1.50 ± 0.10 | 1.6 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.3 2.0 ± 0.5 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.7 \pm 0.4 \\ 0.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.3 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$ |
| Total flux $(10^{-3} \text{ ph cm}^{-3} \text{ Total L}_{511} (10^{43} \text{ ph s}^{-1})$ Total L _p (10^{43} s^{-1}) B/D flux ratio B/D luminosity ratio | ² s ⁻¹) | | 1.5 - 2.9 1.0 - 1.7 1.6 - 2.8 1 - 3 3 - 9 |

Point source search (1)

• Table 4. 511 keV narrow line 3σ upper flux limits for selected potential positron sources.

| Source name | l (deg) | $^{\rm b}$ (deg) | FWHM (deg) | 3σ flux limit $(10^{-4} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$ | Type of object |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Sgr A* GRS 1758-258 Cyg X-1 LS I+61°303 1E 1740.7-2942 Vela X-1 | 0.00° 4.51° 71.33° 135.68° 359.15° 263.06° | $+0.00^{\circ}$ -1.36° $+3.07^{\circ}$ $+1.09^{\circ}$ -0.12° $+3.93^{\circ}$ | | < 1.0 < 0.7 < 1.0 < 3.3 < 0.9 < 1.1 | BHC μ QSO BHC μ QSO μ QSO HMXB |
| GX 5-1 GRS 1915+105 A 0620-00 Nova Muscae Cir X-1 Cen X-4 GX 349+2 Sco X-1 | 5.08° 45.37° 209.96° 295.30° 322.12° 332.24° 349.10° 359.09° | $\begin{array}{r} -1.02^{\circ} \\ -0.22^{\circ} \\ -6.54^{\circ} \\ -7.07^{\circ} \\ +0.04^{\circ} \\ +23.89^{\circ} \\ +2.75^{\circ} \\ +23.78^{\circ} \end{array}$ | - - - - - - - | < 0.7 < 1.0 < 3.8 < 2.0 < 1.1 < 1.7 < 0.8 < 1.5 | LMXB LMXB LMXB LMXB LMXB LMXB LMXB LMXB |
| Crab Geminga PSR J0737-3039 | 184.56° 195.13° 245.24° | $-5.78^{\circ} +4.27^{\circ} -4.50^{\circ}$ | - - - | < 1.3 < 2.2 < 3.0 | Pulsar Pulsar Pulsar |
| Kepler Cas A Tycho SN 1987A SN 1006 Lupus Loop | $\begin{array}{r} 4.52^{\circ} \\ 111.73^{\circ} \\ 120.07^{\circ} \\ 279.70^{\circ} \\ 327.58^{\circ} \\ 329.80^{\circ} \end{array}$ | $+6.82^{\circ}$ -2.13° $+1.44^{\circ}$ -31.94° $+14.59^{\circ}$ $+16.00^{\circ}$ | - - - - | < 0.7 < 1.9 < 2.0 < 1.0 < 1.3 < 1.3 | SNR SNR SNR SNR SNR SNR |

Point source search (2)

| Cygnus Vela Carina | 79° 265° 286.5° | $0^{\circ} \\ 0^{\circ} \\ +0.5^{\circ}$ | 5° 5° | < 1.8 < 2.6 < 2.2 | Star forming region (²⁶ Al source) Star forming region (²⁶ Al source) Star forming region (²⁶ Al source) |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| M 22 Palomar 13 ω Cen NGC 6397 M 4 | 9.89° 87.10° 309.10° 338.16° 350.97° | $-7.55^{\circ} \\ -42.70^{\circ} \\ +14.97^{\circ} \\ -11.96^{\circ} \\ +15.97^{\circ}$ | - - - | < 0.8 < 7.0 < 1.7 < 1.2 < 1.1 | Globular Cluster Globular Cluster Globular Cluster Globular Cluster Globular Cluster |
| Sgr dwarf M31 LMC | 5.61° 121.17° 280.47° | -14.10° -21.57° -32.89° | ${8^{\circ}\atop{3^{\circ}}} 10.8^{\circ}$ | < 1.7 < 11.8 < 4.7 | Dwarf Galaxy Galaxy Irregular Galaxy |
| QSO B2251-179 3C 273 3C 279 Cen A | 46.20° 289.95° 305.10° 309.52° | $-61.33^{\circ} + 64.36^{\circ} + 57.06^{\circ} + 19.42^{\circ}$ | - - - | < 2.9 < 1.2 < 1.3 < 1.7 | QSO QSO Blazar Radio Galaxy |
| Coma cluster Perseus cluster Virgo cluster | 58.08° 150.58° 281.63° | $^{+87.96^{\circ}}_{-13.26^{\circ}}_{+75.18^{\circ}}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4^{\circ} \\ 4^{\circ} \\ 12^{\circ} \end{array}$ | < 2.0 < 4.5 < 2.5 | Galaxy Cluster Galaxy Cluster Galaxy Cluster |

Comparison with OSSE

Table 5. Comparison of SPI results with OSSE measurements. The bulge parameters l_0 , b_0 , Δl , and Δb of OSSE were taken from Kinzer et al. (2001).

| Quantity | SPI | OSSE |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| lo | $-0.6^\circ\pm0.3^\circ$ | $-0.25^{\circ} \pm 0.25^{\circ}$ |
| b_0 | $+0.1^{\circ}\pm0.3^{\circ}$ | $-0.3^\circ \pm 0.2^\circ$ |
| Δl (FWHM) | $8.1^{\circ} \pm 0.9^{\circ}$ | $6.3^{\circ} \pm 1.5^{\circ}$ |
| Δb (FWHM) | $7.2^{\circ} \pm 0.9^{\circ}$ | $4.9^{\circ} \pm 0.7^{\circ}$ |
| Flux $(10^{-3} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$ | 1.5 - 2.9 | 1 - 3 |
| B/D flux ratio | 1 - 3 | 0.2 - 3.3 |

No PLE!

OSSE PLE cutaway view



FIGURE 2. Cuts through the positive latitude enhancement taken at 70° relative to the negative-longitude galactic plane displayed on a logarithmic scale. Shown in the upper panel is a 1° wide cut through the RL and SVD maps of line and continuum annihilation radiation. The SVD -511 maps shows 1 σ error bars. The lower panel shows the positive latitude portion of the cuts with the mirror negative latitude portion subtracted off.

Milne et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 587, 11 (2001)

Massive stars, core collapse supernovae, pulsars?

D WR stars and SN produce β^+ radioisotopes

Unlikely since the 511 keV emission shows bulge-like distribution, while massive star lies along the disk

 (Faint disk emission may be explained via decay of ²⁶Al and ⁴⁴Ti)

Hypernovae

Recent hypernova at the Galactic center

Unlikely since the 511 keV emission is bulge-like distribution (hypernovae, related to WR stars, should distribute along the disk) Cosmic-ray interactions

\square N + p $\rightarrow \pi^+ \rightarrow e^+$ channel

Unlikely since GeV diffuse gamma-rays are distributed along the disk as shown by EGRET

X-ray binaries

- γγ pair production in the luminous compact region around the compact object, or due to nuclear interactions which excite nuclei that β⁺ decay
- Galactic B.H. and microquasars may eject positrons in jets
- HMXB: primarily found in disk LMXB: concentrated toward bulge

LMXB scenario

ISO LMXBS: B/D=0.9, vertical scale height=410pc

B/D is smaller than that of 511 keV emission, but positrons may escape into halo, since positrons are ejected into 10-100 less dense regions (since ISM scale height is ~100pc), thus substantial diffusion before annihilation

Individual LMXBs can be resolved?

Classical novae

- Novae (thermonuclear runaways on white dwarfs in accreting binary systems):
 - B/D = 3-4? (interstellar extinction!)
 - Produce β⁺ decay isotopes in thermonuclear runaway of ¹³N, ¹⁸F, ²²Na...
- ²²Na requires 1600 novae/yr (obs.35±11/yr)
- **D** ¹³*N* requires 26/yr but $\tau_{1/2}$ =14min!
 - May rise transient annihilation signature

Thermonuclear supernovae

Supernova Type Ia:

- Produce β^+ isotope, ⁵⁶Co (τ =111day)
- 0.6M_{solar} ⁵⁶Co provide 2.5×10⁵⁴e⁺
- e⁺ may not escape due to thick envelopes: escape fraction *f*~0.03? or 0?
- 0.6 SN la/100yr required for f=0.03
- Estimated bulge SN Ia rate: 0.08/100yr? B/D~3-4? if follows that of novae
- Search for 511 keV from nearby SN Ia!

Light dark matter annihilation

- 1-100 MeV dark matter could emit positrons, but spatial distribution is poorly constrained.
- If cuspy, inconsistent with 511 keV observation
- 511 keV emission from nearby Sgr dwarf galaxy? (Hooper: (1-7)×10⁻⁴/cm²s)
 SPI limit 1.7×10⁻⁴/cm²s

Conclusion

- 511 keV emission is observed toward bulge (~50σ) and disk (~4 σ).
- □ No point sources up to 10⁻⁴/cm²s level.
- No PLE feature was observed.
- Bulge emission is spherically symmetric and is centered on the Galactic center with an extension of 8deg (FWHM).
- □ B/D ratio is 3-9.
- Emission may come from LMXB, SN Ia, or light dark matter annihilation.