宇宙線中の一次電子と超新星加速

No√.18/04 西村 純

Some Historical Aspect

Early Study

Astrophysical Significance of primary Electrons

Super Nova Accelerations

Effect of Nearby Sources

Some Historical Aspect

~1930

Positron			Anderson (1932)	
E-W Asymmetry	(Plus Charge)		Alvarez (1933)	
Increase of Cosmic-ray Flux around 15km		Pfotzer (1936)		
Cascdae Theory				
	Bhabha-Heitler (1937)	&	Carlson -Oppenheimer(1937)	
Positrons as Primary Cosmc ray s			Euler-Heisenberg (1938)	

~1940

Protons as Primary Cosmic rays

Schein Jose Wollan (1941)

Astrophysical Aspect

Galactic Radio Wave

Super Novae Origin

Electron Synchrotron

Polarization of Optical light from Crab

Jansky (1931) Zwiky (1934) Ginzburg (1953) Hayakawa(1956) Alfven(1950) Ginzburg (1951) Shchlovsky(1953)

Interactions During the Propagation inside the Galaxy

Inverse Compton Feenberg & Primakov (1949)

Secondary Electrons Hoyle, Hayakawa(1952) · · · · ·

CR + Intersteller matter

 $\Rightarrow \quad {}^{\pm} => \mu \; {}^{\pm} + = e^{\pm} + +$

Problems related Primary Electrons

1960Direct Observationse/P ~1%Primary or Secondary Origine +/e- ~10%

~1965 Observations Electrons beyond 100GeV Bending of the spectrum

1980 以降

Electrons beyond 1TeV Relation to the Nearby sources

Difficulties of the Observations of Primary Electrons

Low Flux	=>	Large S	
Flux	~1/ 10	0m2sr.sec	E>100GeV
	~2/m2	.sr.day	E>1TeV

Identification of Electrons=> Rejection Power to proton $10^{-4} \sim 10^{-5}$ 10-100GeVe/P < 1%1TeVe/P < 0.1%

Precise Energy Determination => Massive Detectors

Instruments for Electron Observations Earl (1960)

VOLUME 6, NUMBER 3 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS Table I. Data on the balloon flight and the cloud chamber. Balloon flight Date: May 12, 1960 Location: Minneapolis, Minnesota (geomagnetic latitude 55°N) Time at ceiling: 12 hours 4 to 6.5 g cm⁻². Pressure altitude: Average: 4.5 g cm⁻² Multiplate cloud chamber Number of lead plates: 5 0.6 cm - 7.5 g cm⁻² Thickness of plates: (1.1 radiation lengths) Sensitive time per picture: (0.19 ± 0.01) sec Geometric factor for region bounded by illuminated areas of top and bottom: (33.5 ± 1.5) cm² sr

Shower events in which there was no trace of a track in the top section even though the axis was well illuminated were assumed to be initiated by gamma rays. There is no reason to believe that the upper section was insensitive at any time during the flight. The procedure used to determine the eventue of the electrons and some raws in

FIG. 1. A cloud-chamber picture of a shower produced by a high-energy electron. The incident electron is visible in the top section of the cloud chamber.

FEBRUARY 1, 1961

Muller & Meyer (1973)



FIG. 2.-Schematic cross-section of the detector

Prince (1976)



ECC (1968-)



FiG. 1.-Emulsion chamber configuration for 1968 flight (top) and 1976 flight (bottom)

1980 年代の電子スペクトル



Fig. 4.—Differential energy spectrum of primary electrons (conventional plot).

最近の観測器

ECC (MSC)	Emulsion
BETS	Imaging Calorimeter
HEAT	Magnet Spectrometer
Caplice	Magnet Spectrometer
AMS 0-1 (Shuttle)	Magnet Spectrometer
ATIC	Deep Calorimeter
Calet (ISS)	Deep Calorimeter
AMS (ISS)	Magnet Spectrometer

Electron & Gamma-Ray Observation with BETS

BETS: Balloon borne Electron Telescope with Scintillating fibers

- Development of SciFi/lead imaging calorimeter for electrons NIM 457, 499-508 (2001)
- Successful observation of electrons in 10-100 GeV ApJ 559, 973-984 (2001)
- Observation of atmospheric gamma-ray flux with improved BETS Phys Rev D 66, 052004(1-9) (2002)



BET Instrument

Shower Image at CERN

PPB-BETS:

Torii,S.: PSB1-0046



Automatic Tracing Tracks in each layer of ECC

Emulsion chamber configuration for 2001 flight





2cmx3cmx5Xo depth ~40hr Exposure at Balloon Altitude

Detected showers in MSC energy beyond 10GeV Aoki.S et al : PSB1-0051



Recently Observed Electron Spectrum



Fig. 9.— Local interstellar flux of electrons measured by the most recent experiments plus high energy data from Nishimura et al. (1980) (see table 1).

D.Casadei and V. Bindi: Astro-ph/ 0302307



宇宙線超新星起源説

1. エネルギーからの考察 (Ginzburg,1953)

Volume of Galaxy:V=10⁶⁷cc CR Energy Density : ~1eV/cc Total Energy = V~10⁵⁵erg

Rate of Loss = $V/T=10^{48}$ erg/yr

for SN rate of 100yr, Each SN Out put = $(V/T)(SNrate) \sim 10^{50}$ erg

2. スペクトルの形 (Axford 1977)

Shock Acceleration; r =Compression ratio

 $E^{-(r+2)/(r-1)}dE \sim E^{-2}dE$ fro Strong Shock r=4

3. Evidence

Radio and Optical wave from SNR,

SN1006: X, & Gamma rays

エネルギー損失

Synchrotron Process; Energy loss / sec

$$\frac{4}{3} \quad T\left(\frac{E}{mc^2}\right)^2 \left\langle \frac{H^2}{8} \right\rangle C$$

H~5 µ Gauss

Inverse Compton: Energy loss / sec

$$\frac{4}{3} \quad T\left(\frac{E}{mc^2}\right)^2 C$$

: energy density of Photons(3k, IR optical •••) ~1eV/cc

Life: = + $H^2/8$ ~ 1 eV/cc

T=3x10⁸yr /(E) (eV/cc.GeV)

Propagation

Diffusion Model

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - (D)f - \frac{\partial bE^2}{\partial E} = Q(r,z)$$

- **D:** $Do(E/Eo)^{\delta}$
- **b:** Energy loss Parameter
- Q: Qo exp(-z/zo),
- ho: Halo Thickness
- zo: Disc thickness

Travel Distance by Diffusion $R\sim(2DT)^{1/2}$

Diffusion Constant

D=Do(E/Eo)

E=1-100GeV

 $Do~2x10^{28} cm^{2}/sec \qquad (B/C, HEAO-C \cdot \cdot)$

~ 0 E<5GeV

~ 0.6 E>5GeV

E>100GeV (Anisotropy, B/C Runjob)

~ 0.3 E~1TeV

D=(1-5)x10²⁹(E/TeV)^{0.3} cm²/sec

T=3x10⁸yr /(E) (eV/cc.GeV)

TeV 領域の電子

E>1TeV,

Life

T<3x105yrs

Travel Distance

 $R < (2DT)^{1/2} \sim 0.5 - 0.7 kpc$

高エネルギー電子には最近の Nearby Source しか寄与しない

Nearby SNRs

List of nearby SNRs.

SNR		R(kpc)	Age(yr)
SN185		0.95	1.8×10^{3}
S147		0.80	4.6×10^3
HB 21		0.80	$1.9 imes 10^4$
G65.3+	5.7	0.80	$2.0 imes 10^4$
Cygnus	Loop	0.44	$2.0 imes 10^4$
Vela		0.30	$1.1 imes 10^4$
Monoge	em	0.30	$8.6 imes 10^4$
Loop1		0.17	2.0×10^5
Geming	ja	0.4	3.4×10^{5}



Contours of the electron flux $E^3 J$ at 3TeV between T and R

Source Spectrum E⁻ Exp[-E/Emax]

B =5Gauss: T=1/(bE)=2.308x10⁵ (TeV/E)yr

Do=10²⁹(E/TeV) cm²/s

E=1TeV, Emax =10TeV, 20TeV

T=1/(bE)=2.308x10⁵





E2=10TeV

E2=20TeV

E2= :No cut

高エネルギー電子スペクトル

3/10/04 西村 純

T.Kobayashi et al. ApJ. 6 0 1, pp 3 4 0 - 3 5 1, 2 0 0 4

```
Observations => Low Flux => Large S T >100GeV < 1TeV
```

Effect of Near by Sources (Synchrotron, Inverse Compton,) Propagation => Limit by Distance and Life Acceleration: Electron Acceleration and Leakage Anisotropy : 1/1 ~ Ri/Ti ~ a few 10%

Dark matter: Dark matter Annihilation

line spectrum => dE/E2 => hump

源から発生するスペクトル

源の中:

E⁻ Exp[-E/Eo]

源からリークアウト

時間的推移	?
スペクトルの形	?

その後の伝播

発生モデル ?

爆発時 Single Shot 連続リーク 最終崩壊 (~10⁵yr)

一次電子の遍歴



 $T \sim R^{2}/((2D))$

No. of Nearby Source <100pc = (100pc/15kpc)² (!05yr/30yr)=0.15

2. Assumptions for Calculations

- 1, Total Output $Qo(E>1GeV)=10^{48}erg/SN$
- 2. DiffusionCoefficients $D=(1,2,4)\times 10^{29}(E/TeV)^{0.3} \text{ cm}^2/\text{ s}$
- 3. $b=1/2.3 \times 10^5$ yr. TeV ($B \sim 5 \mu Gauss$)
- 4. Source Spectrum

 $E^{-} E_{\times p}[-E/E_{c}]$ (Ec= 10-100TeV)

5 Delay of Release after explosion , also continuous release
= 0, 5×10³,10⁴, 2×10⁴, 5×10⁴, 10⁵yr

1. Nearby Sources used for Calculations

SNR	R(kpc)	± R	То	Emax (TeV)
Vela	0.3kpc	± 0.05	1.1x10 ⁴ yr	21TeV
Cygnus Loop	0.44	± 0.05	2.0x10 ⁴ yr	2.6
Monogem	0.3	± 0.05	8.6x10 ⁴ yr	2.6
Loop1	0.17	± 0.05	1.7x10 ⁵ yr	1.15



Figure 1. The different energy contributions in units of the initial SN-explosion energy E_{SN} for an external density of $n_{ext} = 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ as a function of time. The two vertical lines denote the sweep-up time t_{SW} (Equation 4) and the time t_{cool} (Equation 5) when cooling becomes important and a dense shell is formed. For $t > t_{cool}$ the thermal energy E_{th} can be radiated away very effectively. During this cooling phase heating by Alfvén waves can still increase the total thermal energy associated by a decrease of the total cosmic ray energy E_{CP} .

Dorfi 2000 ASS.272:p227

Using the model described in § 2, we investigated the evolution of the nonthermal photon spectra from SNRs by assuming a variety of initial conditions. Specifically, we modeled Type I ($M_{ej} = 1.4 M_{\odot}, v_0 = 10^9 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) supernovae expanding into an ISM with a density of 0.1, 1, or 10 hydrogen atoms cm⁻³ and Type II ($M_{ej} = 10 M_{\odot}, v_0 = 3.7 \times 10^8 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) supernovae expanding into an ISM with a density of 1 hydrogen atom cm⁻³. Thus, the initial bulk kinetic energy is the same for the Type I and Type II SN models. We adopt a particle source normalization such that 10% of the initial bulk kinetic energy of the supernova ejecta is transferred to both cosmic-ray electrons and protons prior to the SNR entering the radiative phase, after which we assume that particle acceleration ceases. The evolution of the particle and photon spectra for each model is shown in Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 (see the figure captions for details).

The general characteristics of the photon spectra shown in Figures 3, 5, 7, and 9 are as follows:



FIG. 2.—The isotropic particle intensities (a) J_e and (b) J_p at 500 (dot-dashed curves), 5000 (dashed curves), 50,000 (dotted curves), and 100,000 yr (solid curves) for $n_{\text{ISM}} = 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $M_{ej} = 1.4 M_{\odot}$, $v_0 = 10^9 \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $B_{\text{ISM}} = 5 \mu$ G, and a source spectral index $\alpha = 2$. For these parameters, the particle sources turn off at $t = t_{\text{rad}} = 9.6 \times 10^4$ yr. The sharp cutoff in the electron intensity at high energies and late times is due to synchrotron losses, while the turnover at low energies in both the electron and proton intensities is due to Coulomb losses.

Sturner eta al ApJ. 1997. 490.p619 e & P in SNR with Evolution time

TABLE 2 ROLLOFF FREQUENCY AND MAXIMUM ELECTRON ENERGY UPPER LIMITS

	^v ro1kofr		$E_{\max}[(B/10\mu G)]^{1/2}$	
OBJECT	(10 ¹⁶ Hz)	(keV)	(ergs)	(TeV)
Kes 73 ^a	150	6	290	200
Cas A	32	1	130	80
Kepler	11	0.5	79	50
Tycho	8.8	0.4	70	40
G352.7-0.1	6.6	0.3	60	40
SN 1006 ^b	6	0.2	57	40
3C 397	3.4	0.1	43	30
W49 B	2.4	0.1	36	20
G349.7+0.2	1.8	0.07	31	20
3C 396	1.6	0.07	30	20
G346.6-0.2	1.5	0.06	29	20
3C 391	1.4	0.06	28	20
SN 386 ^a	1.2	0.05	26	20
RCW 103 ^a	1.2	0.05	26	20

NOTE.-Values shown in this table are upper limits, because in each case the bulk of the continuum is assumed to be synchrotron. Values shown in cgs units were rounded to two digits, while their common-unit equivalents were rounded to the more reasonable one significant figure. Note that while 10 μ G was assumed for a standard SNR magnetic field, Cas A's magnetic field is about 1 mG (i.e., $E_{\text{max}} \sim 8$ TeV), and others are quite uncertain. ^a Contains a known hard X-ray central source.

^b This value of v_{relieff} is not a limit but results from the model of the nonthermal X-ray emission by Reynolds (1996). See § 4.

Reynolds & Keohane : 1999, ApJ.525,p368 Young, SNR in Galaxy



FIG. 1.-Synchrotron emissivity produced by the electron spectrum of eq. (1), with power-law index s = 2. The solid line shows the numerical integration of the single-particle emissivity; the dashed line shows the emissivity of an abruptly truncated electron distribution $\lceil N(E) = 0$ for $E > E_{max}$], and the dot-dashed line shows the distribution of eq. (1) convolved with the δ -function approximation to the single-particle synchrotron emissivity. The dotted line shows the log of inverse of the decrement function, the factor by which the cutoff emissivity lies below the power-law extrapolation.

Do=10²⁹(E/TeV) cm²/s

E=3TeV, Emax =10TeV, 20TeV

 $1/bE = T = 0.811 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$

Rkpc



E=3TeV, Contour Lines are $1.0, 10^2, 10^3$ from the top

E2=10TeV

E2=20TeV

E2= :No cut

Cosmic-ray Electron Spectra with *D* **values**





 $\overline{D_0} = 2 \times 10^{29} (\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1})$



* A power-law source spectrum without cut-off* Prompt release after the explosion

The absolute flux and spectral shape change with D.
The maximum energy of each SNR is same, independent of D.

ICRC2003 - p.9

Electron Spectra with Cut-off Energies

 $E_{\rm c} = \infty$



$E_{\rm c} = 10 {\rm TeV}$



$E_{\rm c}=20{\rm TeV}$



* Prompt release after the explosion * $D_0 = 2 \times 10^{29} (\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1})$

The spectra are similar with each other, independent of the cut-off energies.

Electron Spectra with Burst-like Release Times

$\tau = 5 \times 10^3 \text{yr}$



$\tau = 5 \times 10^4 \text{yr}$



$\tau = 1 \times 10^4 \mathrm{yr}$



$\tau = 1 \times 10^5 \mathrm{yr}$



The delay of the release time from SNRs have a large impact on the flux in the TeV region for $\tau > 1 \times 10^4$ yr.

Electron Spectra with Continuous Release Times



- * $D_0 = 2 \times 10^{29} (\mathrm{cm}^2 \mathrm{s}^{-1})$
- The spectra are well represented by that of the burst-like release with a mean value of the continuous release time.

Summary

Effect of Delay release

No significant Difference in case between =0 and 5x10³yr Prompt release approximation is good when < 5x10³yr Continuous release from SNR is well approximated by taking appropriate values of .

3. Depression of the source spectrum beyond Ec Large depression of flux beyond 10TeV if Ec >20TeV, but No significant depression around a few TeV

Main Contributors

Delay of Release

- $= 0 \sim 5 \times 10^3 \text{yr}$:
- = ~ 10⁴yr:
- $= (2-5) 10^4 \text{yr}$:
- = ~ 10⁵yr:

Main Contributors Vela, Cygnus Loop Cygnus Loop Monogem No clear candidates





ECC: Electron Spectrum. 04.1.17. T.K.



FIG. 5.—Particle omnidirectional fluxes, dJ/dE [particles cm⁻² s⁻¹ sr⁻¹ (MeV/A)⁻¹], vs. energy per nucleon for ions and vs. energy for electrons ($A \equiv 1$ for electrons), obtained from our example of an expanding remnant in the Sedov phase (see Table 1 for model parameters). All spectra are calculated downstream from the shock in the shock rest frame and are obtained as explained in the text with a steady state approximation. In each panel, the solid and dashed lines show the hydrogen and He⁺² spectra, respectively, and the dotted line shows the electron spectrum. Both ionic species contribute to the shock smoothing, and the far upstream number density of helium is 1/10 that of hydrogen. The curves are normalized such that $V_{sk} n_{p,1} = 1$ cm⁻² s⁻¹. The electron spectra are obtained with $E_{erit} = 100$ keV and $f_e = 1$. As the remnant evolves, the shock slows and weakens and the injected electron temperature $T_{e,inj}$ diminishes in accordance with the decline in the dissipative heating of ions (for fixed f_e) in the shock layer.

Barinmg. 1999: ApJ 513,p311

$T=10^4$ yr, Do= 10^{29} cm²/s

Do=2x10²⁹cm²/s

Do=4x10²⁹cm²/s

R=100pc



R=200pc







1000 500 100 50 100 50 100 50 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5



R=300pc







R=400pcR







R=500pc





R=600pc





R=700pc



R=800pc

