### 高エネルギーガンマ線天文学 A

#### Haruki Furukawa, Tsubasa Tanba, Yuki Yoshimura, Kosuke Tsukada, Kazuki Toyoda

Tokyo, Japan

March 11, 2017

### Dark matter in the Universe



### Contents

#### **1** Why and how to search DM?

- The fact of astronomical observation
- Searching directly
- Searching dwarf galaxy

#### 2 Cherenkov Telescope

- $\blacksquare$  How to detect  $\gamma$  ray
- MAGIC

#### 3 This work

- Procedure of analysis
- Crab Nebula
- Segue1

Why and how to search DM?

### Contents

#### **1** Why and how to search DM?

- The fact of astronomical observation
- Searching directly
- Searching dwarf galaxy
- 2 Cherenkov Telescope
  - $\blacksquare$  How to detect  $\gamma$  ray
  - MAGIC
- 3 This work
  - Procedure of analysis
  - Crab Nebula
  - Segue1

Why and how to search DM?

### Why and how to search DM?





Why and how to sear<u>ch DM?</u>

└─ The fact of astronomical observation

### The fact of astronomical observation

Rotation curve analysis



Why and how to search DM?

└─ The fact of astronomical observation

### The fact of astronomical observation

#### Gravitational lensing



Why and how to search DM?

└─ The fact of astronomical observation

### The fact of astronomical observation

Cosmic Microwave Background



- Why and how to search DM?
  - └─ Searching directly

### Particle physics candidate





## Direct detection

#### Production at colliders



- \* Production in pairs
- \* Decay; mono-jets/photons
- \* Missing transverse energy
- \* Mass, cross section
- \* Independent confirmation!
- \* No evidence at LHC

- \* Scattering of baryonic target
- ★ Recoil energy (~10s of keV)
- \* Ionization, scintillation, heat
- Claims: DAMA, CoGeNT, CDMS-II; nothing conclusive

Why and how to search DM?

└─ Searching directly

#### Particle physics candidate



Why and how to search DM?

└─ Searching dwarf gala×y

### Searching dwarf galaxy



We observed spheroidal dwarf galaxy, Segue1

Cherenkov Telescope

## Contents

### 1 Why and how to search DM?

- The fact of astronomical observation
- Searching directly
- Searching dwarf galaxy

#### 2 Cherenkov Telescope

- $\blacksquare$  How to detect  $\gamma$  ray
- MAGIC

#### 3 This work

- Procedure of analysis
- Crab Nebula
- Segue1

Cherenkov Telescope

 $\Box$  How to detect  $\gamma$  ray

#### Air shower



Gamma rays (Group A) └─ Cherenkov Telescope

 $\square$  How to detect  $\gamma$  ray

### How to distinguish between Hadron and $\gamma$ ray



Gamma rays (Group A) └─Cherenkov Telescope └─How to detect  $\gamma$  ray

### Arrival direction

### Multiple telescope





### MAGIC telescope



- Location : La Palma, Spain
- $\blacksquare$  FoV  $\sim 3.5 \deg$
- $\blacksquare$  Energy :  $\sim 50\,{\rm GeV}$  100 TeV
- Sensitivity :  $\sim 0.7\,\%$  of Crab Nebula units
- $\blacksquare$  Energy resolution  $\sim 16\,\%$
- $\blacksquare$  Spatial resolution  $\sim 0.07 \deg$

└─ This work

## Contents

#### 1 Why and how to search DM?

- The fact of astronomical observation
- Searching directly
- Searching dwarf galaxy

#### 2 Cherenkov Telescope

- How to detect  $\gamma$  ray
- MAGIC

#### 3 This work

- Procedure of analysis
- Crab Nebula
- Segue1

└─ This work

Procedure of analysis

### How to analyze our data — MARS



Gamma rays (Group A) L This work Crab Nebula

### Analyzing data from Crab Nebula

Crab Nebula is the brightest  $\gamma$ -ray source in the galaxy. Inside the nebula, there is a young pulsar, emitting  $\gamma$ -rays. We analyzed the data and got:

- evidence that there are signals
- skymaps
- data of flux



└─ This work

Crab Nebula

### Our result — Are there signals from Crab Nebula?



Gamma rays (Group A) └─This work

Crab Nebula

### Our reslut — Significance map



Gamma rays (Group	A)
└─This work	
Crab Nebula	

#### Our result — Flux



### Searching dark matter by analyzing Segue1

If we can detect  $\gamma$ -rays from Segue1, there is high probability that they are emitted by DM pair annihilation.

We analyzed Segue1 data in the same way as Crab Nebula, getting skymaps and data of flux. Referring upper limit of flux, we calculated:

- the upper limit of  $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ :  $\langle \sigma v \rangle^{UL}$ ( $\sigma$  is cross section of DM annihilation of each reaction.)
- the lower limit of  $\tau$ :  $\tau^{LL}$

( $\tau$  is the decay time of single DM.)

Gamma rays (Group A) └─This work └─Segue1\_

### Our result — Are there signals from Segue1?



Gamma rays (Group A) — This work

└\_Segue1

## Our reslut — Significance map



## How to calculate $\langle \sigma v angle^{UL}$ and $au^{LL}$

#### ANNIHILATION

$$\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2M_{\chi}^2} \sum_{f} B_{f} \frac{dN_{\gamma}^{f}}{dE} \int_{\Delta\Omega} \int_{l.o.s.} d\Omega \ dl \ \rho(l)^2$$

DECAYS

$$\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{M_{\chi}\tau} \sum_{f} B_{f} \frac{dN_{\gamma}^{f}}{dE} \int_{\Delta\Omega} \int_{l.o.s.} d\Omega \ dl \ \rho(l)$$

Gamma rays (Group A) This work Segue1

### DM annihilation and decay

First, we assumed these patterns of annihilation and decay.

• ANNIHILATION:  $\chi + \chi \rightarrow b + \bar{b}$   $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \tau^+ + \tau^-$ 

• DECAY:  $\chi \to b \ \bar{b} \quad \chi \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ 



└─ This work

└\_Segue1

## Our result — $\langle \sigma v \rangle^{UL}$ at $\chi + \chi \rightarrow b + \overline{b} \& \chi + \chi \rightarrow \tau^+ + \tau^-$

$$\chi + \chi \to b + \bar{b}$$

$$\chi + \chi \to \tau^+ + \tau^-$$



L This work

└\_Segue1

Our result — 
$$\tau^{LL}$$
 at  $\chi \to b \ \bar{b} \ \& \ \chi \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ 



Gamma rays (Group A)
└─This work
└─ Segue1

### Another pattern

Next, we assumed:

 ${\color{black}\bullet} \ \chi + \chi \to \gamma + \gamma$ 



└─ This work

└\_Segue1

# $\text{Our result} - \langle \sigma v \rangle^{UL} \text{ at } \chi + \chi \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$





### Conclusion

- We confirmed data from Crab Nebula was consistent with previous research, showing detection of γ-ray.
- We cannot detect any γ-ray signal from Segue1, unlike Crab Nebula, which means no DM signal.
- We calculated  $\langle \sigma v \rangle^{UL}$  and  $\tau^{LL}$  from flux data. The calculation was comparable to previous researches in certain energy ranges.
- $\tau^{LL} \sim 10^{25} \,\mathrm{s} >> \mathrm{Hubble time} \sim 10^{17} \,\mathrm{s}$