# SuperCANGAROO

Symposium on Future Projects in Cosmic Ray Physics, June 26-28, 2003 @ICRR

Masaki Mori ICRR, University of Tokyo On behalf of the CANGAROO team

#### CANGAROO team (as of April 2003)

- University of Adelaide
- Australian National University
- Ibaraki University
- Ibaraki Prefectural
  University
- Kanagawa University
- Konan University
- Kyoto University
- Nagoya University
- National Astronomical Observatory of Japan
- Osaka city University

- Institute of Physical and Chemical Research
- Shinshu University
- Institute for Space and Aeronautical Science
- Tokai University
- Tokyo Institute of Technology
- Yamagata University
- Yamanashi Gakuin University

# **CANGAROO** history

CANGAROO-II 7m (1999)

upgraded

CANGAROO-III T1,T2,T3 (2002)







CANGAROO-I 3.8m (1992)

#### CANGAROO-III T1 10m (2000)

#### **CANGAROO** results

"Search for TeV gamma-rays from SN1987A in 2001" Enomoto, R. et al., Astrophysical Journal (Letters), 591, L25-L28 (2003) "Evidence for TeV gamma-ray emission from the nearby starburst galaxy NGC 253" Itoh, C. et al., Astronomy and Astrophysics, 402, 443-455 (2003) "Detection of diffuse TeV gamma-ray emission from the nearby starburst galaxy NGC253" Itoh, C. et al., Astronomy and Astrophysics 396, L1-L4 (2002) "Development of an atmospheric Cherenkov imaging camera for the CANGAROO-III experiment" Kabuki, S. et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A500, 318-336 (2003) "Observation of gamma-rays greater than 10 TeV from Markarian 421 Okumura, K. et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. 579, L9-L12 (2002) "The Acceleration of Cosmic-ray Protons in the Supernova Remnant RX J1713.7-3946" Enomoto, R. et al., Nature, 416, 823-826 (2002) "Design Study of CANGAROO-III, stereoscopic imaging atmosphereic Cherenkov Telescopes for Sub-TeV Gamma-ray Detection" Enomoto, R. et al., Astropart. Phys. 16, 235-244 (2002) "The Optical Reflector System for the CANGAROO-II Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescope" Kawachi, A. et al., Astropart. Phys. 14, 261-269 (2001) "Observations of the Supernova Remnant W28 at TeV Energies" Rowell, G.P. et al., Astron. Astrophys. 359, 337-346 (2000) "Very High-Energy Gamma-Ray Observations of PSR B1509-58 with the CANGAROO 3.8m Telescope" Sako, T. et al., Astrophys. J. 537, 422-428 (2000) "Evidence for TeV Gamma-ray Emission from the Shell Type SNR RXJ1713.7-3946" Muraishi, H. et al., Astron. Astrophys., 354, L57-L61 (2000) "TeV Gamma-ray Observations of Three X-ray Selected BL Lacs" Roberts, M.D. et al., Astron. Astrophys., 343, 691-696 (1999) "TeV Gamma-ray Observations of Southern BL-Lacs with the CANGAROO 3.8m Telescope" Roberts, M.D. et al., Astron. Astrophys., 337, 25-30 (1998) "Discovery of TeV Gamma Rays from SN 1006: Further Evidence for the Supernova Remnant Origin of Cosmic Rays" Tanimori, T. et al., Astrophys. J. Lett., 497, L25-L28 and Plate L2 (1998) "Detection of Gamma Rays of up tp 50 TeV from the Crab Nebula" Tanimori, T. et al., Astrophys. J. Lett., 492, L33-36 (1998) "Very High Energy Gamma Rays from the Vela Pulsar Direction" Yoshikoshi, T. et al., Astrophys. J. Lett., 487, L65-68 (1997) "Very High Energy Gamma Rays from PSR1706-44" Kifune, T. et al., Astrophys. J. Lett., 438, L91-94 (1995) "Observation of 7 TeV Gamma Rays from the Crab using the Large Zenith Angle Air Cherenkov Imaging Technique" Tanimori, T. et al., Astrophys. J. Lett., 429, L61-64 (1994) "First Test Data from the CANGAROO Project for Stereo Cherenkov Imaging" Edwards, P.G. et al., Astronomical Soc. of Australia Proc., v10:4, p.287 (1993) "3.8m Imaging Cherenkov Telescope for the TeV Gamma-ray Astronomy Collaboration between Japan and Australia" Hara, T. et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth., A332, pp.300-309 (1993)

#### 21 papers in refereed journals

# TeV gamma-ray sky 2002



# Gamma-ray astrophysics in the 10 GeV region

#### Origin of cosmic rays

- Gamma-rays pin-point accelerators
- Galactic and extragalactic sources
- High-energy astrophysics
  - More distant AGNs; time variability study
  - Highest energy end of pulsar emission / pulsar nebula
  - Gamma-ray bursts (in FOV and afterglow)
- Astroparticle physics
  - Dark matter annihilation
- And there should be *surprises*...

# SNR origin of cosmic rays

#### Theoretical preference:

- (Good) Pros:
  - Energetics (dE/dt)<sub>SN</sub> ~ 10 (dE/dt)<sub>CR</sub>
  - Diffusive shock acceleration  $\rightarrow p^{-2}$  spectrum
- (Weak?) Cons:
  - Maximum energy < PeV ~  $E_{\rm knee}$

#### Experimental situation:

- We already have some evidence...
- How many "gamma-ray SNRs"?
  - Systematic survey of galactic plane
- Hadronic or leptonic?
  - Wide-band energy spectrum

### Systematic survey of SNRs



#### Wide-band study of SNR spectrum

SED of RX J1713.7-3946



#### **SNR** emission models



Baring et al. 1999 ApJ 513, 311

### Other than SNRs



#### Coma cluster



 $W_{\rm p} = 3 \times 10^{62} / 3 \times 10^{61} \text{ ergs}$ 

Atoyan and Voelk 2000 ApJ 535, 45

#### Cosmological gamma-ray horizon



10 GeV gamma-rays can explore the Universe up to z=100! <sub>13</sub>

#### 10 GeV Cherenkov telescopes

- Collect photons 20 times more! Hadron showers increase but fainter Electrons, indistinguishable from gamma-rays, remain background Limit sensitivities for diffuse sources Cf. Rigidity cutoff: 6-9GV@Woomera Higher altitude option to be closer to showers
  - Image broadens a little and Cherenkov disk brighter near center

### Cherenkov photon yield



Figure 9. Efficiency of production of Cerenkov light for both  $\gamma$ -rays and hadrons. The Cerenkov photon yield as a function of primary energy for  $\gamma$ -rays (upper curve) and hadrons (lower curve). For  $\gamma$ -rays the Cerenkov yield remains nearly proportional to energy down to about 20 GeV. For hadrons, a significant cut-off occurs about 100 GeV.

#### Fegan 1997 JPG 23, 1013

# The "Big Four"

#### 



### Next generation projects

#### **5@5**

- Max Planck Inst. for Nuclear Physics
- 50m<sup>2</sup> mirror area
- 5 telescopes (4 in 100m grid and 1 at center) at 5000m a.s.l.
- 3.2° camera, 721 pixels
- 5 GeV threshold

ECO-1000

- Max Planck Inst. for Physics
- <5° camera, >2000 pixels
- 5 GeV threshold

Merck et al. ICRC2003

#### SuperCANGAROO

"Strawman" design parameters

- <10 GeV energy threthold</li>
  → 10 times more area x 2 times QE
- $30m\phi$ , f=30m (F/1.0), parabola
- Field-of-view ~ $10^{\circ} \leftarrow$  survey mode
- Short barrel preferred to keep long focal length → new optical design necessary
- 0.1° -class pixel camera with advanced photon sensors
- Stereo observation is essential

#### 3 mirror wide-field optical design: an example



#### LSST:

- Paul-Baker optics
- 6.9m effective aperture
- F/1.25
- 7sq.deg =  $3^{\circ}\phi$

http://www.lssto.org/lsst\_home.html

19

#### LSST scaled to 30mo



For Cherenkov observation:

No need for lenses

• Reflector can be segmented and mirrors can be plastic or metalshaved to save weight

Camera can be located near the center-ofgravity:

- Smaller moment, faster movement
- No long signal cables

#### Large mount example: Lovell 76m

Jodrell Bank, UK

No counter weight !

#### 2mø camera

<0.1° pixel to reduce nightsky bkgd.</p> ■  $3m^2 \leftrightarrow 1500 \times 2"\phi \sim 6000 \times 1"\phi$ Photosensors: • High-QE PMT (GaAsP etc.) Advanced sensors Electronics Located next to the camera GHz-class FADC

#### Sensitivity



23

# Comparison with GLAST

	GLAST	SuperCANGAROO
Energy	20MeV-300GeV	10GeV-10TeV
Area	>0.8m <sup>2</sup>	~10 <sup>5</sup> m <sup>2</sup>
FOV	>2.5sr	~2x10 <sup>-2</sup> sr
Source location	0.5-5'	~3' (stereo)
Sensitivi ty	3x10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> (>100MeV, 1yr)	2x10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> (50hr)
Life	>2yr	>5yr
Cost	300M\$	30M\$?? x N 24

### Summary

- 10 GeV gamma-ray astrophysics:
  - Systematic study of cosmic ray origin & much more fun!
- Cherenkov observation vs satellites:
  - Sensitive in shorter time → deeper survey & shorter time variability study

#### R&D items:

- Larger reflector with shorter barrel, wide FOV, modest optical quality at moderate cost: a little challenge
- Fast, high-QE optical sensors are desirable
- Site: Australia (higher is better, but cost performance?)